arXiv:1409.2507v1 [math.NT] 8 Sep 2014

p-ADIC INTERPOLATION OF AUTOMORPHIC PERIODS FOR GL_2

JEANINE VAN ORDER

Abstract. We give a new and representation theoretic construction of p-adic interpolation series for central values of self-dual Rankin-Selberg L-functions for GL_2 in dihedral towers of CM fields, i.e. for their associated automorphic periods. The construction is general enough to extend to any setting where the vector in the underlying GL_2-representation can be chosen to take values in a discrete valuation ring, at least when suitable algebraicity properties are met. However, we treat only the ordinary case of parallel weight 2 and trivial central character for simplicity. A certain choice of vectors allows us to establish a precise interpolation formula thanks to theorems of Martin-Whitehouse and File-Martin-Pitale. Such interpolation formulae had been conjectured by Bertolini-Darmon in antecedent works. Our construction also gives a conceptual framework for the nonvanishing theorems of Cornut-Vatsal in that it describes the underlying theta elements. To highlight this latter point, we describe how the construction extends in the parallel weight two setting to give a p-adic interpolation series for central derivative values when the root number is generically equal to -1, whence the central value formulae of Yuan-Zhang-Zhang can be used to give an interpolation formula in terms of heights of CM points on quaternionic Shimura curves.

1. Introduction

Let K/F be a quadratic extension of number fields. Let $\mathbf{A}_K$ and $\mathbf{A}_F$ to denote the respective adele rings of K and F. Let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ with central character $\omega = \otimes_v \omega_v$ equal to either the trivial character $\mathbf{1}$ or else to the quadratic idele class character $\eta = \eta_{K/F} : \mathbf{A}_F^\times / F^\times \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \subset \mathbf{C}^\times$ of F associated to K. Let $\Omega : \mathbf{A}_K^\times / K^\times \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ be a unitary idele class character of K whose restriction $\Omega|_{\mathbf{A}_F^\times}$ to $\mathbf{A}_F^\times$ is equivalent to the inverse of central character ω of π, i.e. so that we impose the the condition

$$\omega \cdot \Omega|_{\mathbf{A}_F^\times} = \mathbf{1}. \tag{1}$$

We shall commit a consistent abuse of notation in using the symbol Ω to denote the automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ determined by the idele class character Ω of K. We shall also write $\Omega = \otimes_v \Omega_v$ to denote the restricted direct product decomposition of this global representation into local representations Ω_v of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$, as v runs over the set V_F of all places of F. The condition (1) implies that the tensor product representation $\pi \otimes \Omega$, which we shall henceforth denote by $\pi \times \Omega$ for simplicity, is self-dual. Its Rankin-Selberg L-function

$$L(s, \pi \times \Omega) = \prod_{v \in V_F} L(s, \pi_v \times \Omega_v), \tag{2}$$

This research was supported in part by the ERC Advanced Grant 247049.

which has a well-known analytic continuation by the work of Jacquet-Langlands [18] (cf. also [16]), then satisfies the symmetric functional equation

$$L(s, \pi \times \Omega) = \epsilon(s, \pi \times \Omega) L(1-s, \pi \times \Omega). \tag{3}$$

Note that we could also have defined (2) equivalently to be the L-function $L(s, \pi_K, \Omega)$ of the basechange π_K of π to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_K)$ twisted by the idele class character Ω. Either way, the global root number

$$\epsilon(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) = \prod_{v \in V_F} \epsilon(1/2, \pi_v \times \Omega_v) \in \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\} \tag{4}$$

must be real-valued, and hence contained in the set $\{\pm 1\}$ of real numbers of complex modulus 1. The criterion of Tunnell [27] and Saito [25] (see e.g. [35, Theorem 1.3]) can be used to give a more precise description of this global root number (4). Let us review this very briefly. If for some place $v \in V_F$, the local representation π_v of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ is a discrete series, then let us write π_v' to denote the local Jacquet-Langlands transfer of π_v to its (uniquely determined) local quaternion algebra B_v over F_v. Fixing an embedding of the local quadratic extension K_v into this B_v, the criterion of Tunnell and Saito asserts that the local space $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_v^\times}(\pi_v', \Omega_v)$ has dimension ≤ 1, with equality if and only if the local root number $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_v' \times \Omega_v) = \epsilon(1/2, \pi_v \times \Omega_v)$ is described by the formula

$$\epsilon(1/2, \pi_v' \times \Omega_v) = \omega_v(-1)\eta_v(-1)\,\mathrm{inv}(B_v).$$

Here, $\mathrm{inv}(B_v)$ denotes the Hasse invariant of B_v, i.e.

$$\mathrm{inv}(B_v) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } B_v \text{ is isomorphic to the matrix algebra } \mathrm{M}_2(F_v) \\ -1 & \text{if } B_v \text{ is isomorphic to a division algebra.} \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, writing $\Sigma(\Omega)$ to denote the finite set of places v of V_F defined by

$$\Sigma(\Omega) = \{v \in V_F : \epsilon_v(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) \neq \omega_v(-1)\eta_v(-1)\},$$

the global root number (1) can be described by the formula

$$\epsilon(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) = (-1)^{|\Sigma(\Omega)|}, \tag{5}$$

as explained e.g. in [5, §1]. The criterion of Tunnell-Saito then asserts that a given place $v \in V_F$ for which π_v is a discrete series belongs to the set $\Sigma(\Omega)$ if and only if the space $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_v^\times}(\pi_v, \Omega_v)$ is equal to zero. Moreover, the space defined by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K_v^\times}(\pi_v', \Omega_v) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{K_v^\times}(\pi_v, \Omega_v)$$

has dimension 1, with the convention that $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_v^\times}(\pi_v', \Omega_v) = 0$ if π_v is not a discrete series. Let us first assume that the root number $\epsilon(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$ equals $+1$. If this is the case, then the choice of pair (π, K) determines uniquely (up to isomorphism) a global quaternion algebra B defined over F for which (i) there exists an F-algebra injection of K into B, (ii) the representation $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ transfers in the sense of Jacquet-Langlands [18] to an automorphic representation $\pi' = \otimes_v \pi_v'$ of the unit group $B^\times(\mathbf{A}_F) = (B \otimes_F \mathbf{A}_F)^\times$, i.e. $\mathrm{JL}(\pi') = \pi$, and (iii) the global space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_K^\times}(\pi', \Omega)$ is not equal to zero, i.e. for each place $v \in V_F$, the local space $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_v^\times}(\pi_v', \Omega_v)$ is not equal to zero (cf. [20]). In this setting, we consider the

functional $P_\Omega^B \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_K^\times}(\pi', \Omega)$ defined by the rule sending a vector $\varphi \in \pi'$ to the automorphic period

$$P_\Omega^B(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbf{A}_K^\times / K^\times \mathbf{A}_F^\times} \varphi(t)\Omega(t)dt.$$

Here, the measure dt is defined by putting on $B^\times(\mathbf{A}_F)$ the product of the local Tamagawa measures times $\zeta_F^S(2)$, where S is some fixed finite set of places of F containing the archimedean places and places where π, Ω, and the fixed additive character are unramified (see [7, §7]). Various well-known calculations with the theta correspondence, starting with the landmark theorem of Waldspurger [33] (cf. e.g. [35, §1.4.2]), relate these integrals $P_\Omega^B(\varphi)$ to the central values $L(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$. Namely, the existence of a vector $\varphi \in \pi'$ for which $P_\Omega^B(\varphi) \neq 0$ is shown to be equivalent to the nonvanishing of the central value $L(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$. A more precise relationship can be established in many cases by making a careful choice of *test vector* $\varphi \in \pi'$, i.e. a decomposable vector $\varphi = \otimes_v \varphi_v$ such that for each place v of F and nonzero local functional $l_v \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K_v^\times}(\pi_v', \Omega_v)$, the value $l_v(\varphi_v)$ does not vanish (cf. [10], [20], [7]). Using such a choice of vector $\varphi \in \pi'$, along with the relative trace formula (cf. [17]), the following formula is established in the papers of Martin-Whitehouse [20] and File-Martin-Pitale [7]. Let us describe this very briefly. Let V_F denote the set of all places of F. Let Δ_F denote the absolute discriminant of F, and Δ_K that of K. Let $c(\Omega)$ denote the absolute norm of the conductor of Ω, and $c(\Omega_v)$ the exponent of the conductor of the local character Ω_v for each $v \in V_F$. Let S_i denote the set of places $v \in V_F$ which remain inert in K. Let $S(\pi)$ denote the set of nonarchimedean primes $v \in V_F$ for which the representation $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ is ramified. Let $S(\Omega)$ denote the set of nonarchimedean places $v \in V_F$ where the character $\Omega = \otimes_v \Omega_v$ is ramified. Writing $c(\pi_v)$ to denote the exponent of the conductor of the local representation π_v of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$, let $S_1(\pi)$ denote the set of places $v \in V_F$ where $c(\pi_v) = 1$, $S_2(\pi_v)$ the set of places where $c(\pi_v) \geq 2$, and $S_0(\pi) = S_2(\pi) \cup \{v \in S_1(\pi) : \ K_v/F_v \text{ is ramified, } \Omega_v \text{ is unramified}\}$. Writing Σ_∞^F to denote the set of archimedean places of F, let us also write $C_v(K, \pi, \Omega)$ to denote the local factor defined in [20, §4].

Theorem 1.1 (File-Martin-Pitale, Martin-Whitehouse)**.** *Assume that $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ has trivial central character, Hypothesis 1.3 below holds, and $\varphi = \otimes \varphi_v \in \pi'$ is test vector defined in* [7, §7.1] *(described below), then*

$$\frac{|P_\Omega^B(\varphi)|^2}{(\varphi, \varphi)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta_F}{c(\Omega)\Delta_K}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{S(\Omega)}(1, \eta) L_{S(\pi)\cup S(\Omega)}(1, \mathbf{1}_F) L^{S(\pi)}(2, \mathbf{1}_F)$$
$$\times \prod_{v \in S(\pi) \cap S(\Omega)^c} e(K_v/F_v) \times \prod_{v \in \Sigma_\infty^F} C_v(K, \pi, \Omega) \times \frac{L^{S_0(\pi)}(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)}{L^{S_0(\pi)}(1, \pi, \mathrm{ad})}.$$

Here, $e(K_v/F_v)$ denotes the usual ramification index of the local extension K_v/F_v, and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the standard inner product on π' with respect to the measure on $B^\times(\mathbf{A}_F)$ given by the product of local Tamagawa measures.

Let us now fix a nonarchimedean prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of F with underlying rational prime p. Let us also fix an embedding $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$. We impose the following conditions at $\mathfrak{p}$.

Hypothesis 1.2. *The following local conditions hold at the fixed prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of F.*

(i) *The quaternion algebra B over F is split at $\mathfrak{p}$, whence we can and do fix an isomorphism $B_{\mathfrak{p}} := B \otimes_F F_{\mathfrak{p}} \approx \mathrm{M}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$.*
(ii) *There exists a nonzero decomposable vector $\varphi \in \pi'$ whose component $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at $\mathfrak{p}$ is fixed by the action of the unit group $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$, where $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an Eichler order of level $\mathfrak{p}^{\delta}$ in $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$.*
(iii) *The local representation $\pi'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-ordinary in the sense that the image under our fixed embedding $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ of its eigenvalue $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi)$ for the Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ defined below is a $\mathfrak{p}$-adic unit.*

The first aim of this paper is to give a general construction of p-adic interpolation series in this so-called ordinary setting for for the values appearing in Theorem 1.1,

$$\mathcal{L}(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) := \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta_F}{c(\Omega)\Delta_K}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{S(\Omega)}(1, \eta) L_{S(\pi)\cup S(\Omega)}(1, \mathbf{1}_F) L^{S(\pi)}(2, \mathbf{1}_F)$$
$$\times \prod_{v \in S(\pi)\cap S(\Omega)^c} e(K_v/F_v) \times \prod_{v \in \Sigma_\infty^F} C_v(K, \pi, \Omega) \times \frac{L^{S_0(\pi)}(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)}{L^{S_0(\pi)}(1, \pi, \mathrm{ad})}.$$

Though we shall assume for simplicity that the archimedean component of π is a holomorphic discrete series of parallel weight 2, our construction should carry over mutatis mutandis for π any cohomological automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ subject to the conditions of Hypothesis 1.2, at least when the decomposable vector $\varphi \in \pi'$ can be chosen in such a way as to take values in a discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$. The key algebraicity property then required for this construction to work is summarized below in Proposition 2.2. Anyhow, to be more precise about what we prove, let us now suppose that K/F is a totally imaginary quadratic extension K of a totally real number field F. Given an ideal $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$, let us write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}} = \mathcal{O}_F + \mathfrak{c}\mathcal{O}_K$ to denote the $\mathcal{O}_F$-order of conductor $\mathfrak{c}$ in K, and $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}}) = \mathbf{A}_K^{\times}/K^{\times}\widehat{O}_{\mathfrak{c}}^{\times}K_{\infty}^{\times}$ to denote its class group. We shall consider the profinite group X defined by

$$X = \varprojlim_n X_n = \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}^n}).$$

Note that this situation, with Ω running over (finite order) ring class characters factoring through X, we have a simple description of the root number $\epsilon(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$ (see e.g. [5, Lemma 1.1]). That is, let us write $\mathfrak{N} = c(\pi) \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ to denote the level our fixed representation π, and let us henceforth assume that the prime-to-$\mathfrak{p}$-part $\mathfrak{N}'$ of $\mathfrak{N}$ is prime to the relative discriminant of K over F. Thus, the choice of quadratic extension K/F determines a unique factorization $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{p}^{\delta}\mathfrak{N}^{+}\mathfrak{N}^{-} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$, where $\mathfrak{N}^{+}$ is divisible only by primes which split in K, $\mathfrak{N}^{-}$ is divisible only by primes which remain inert in K, and $\delta \geq 0$ is an integer. Fix a primitive character Ω of X of conductor $c(\Omega) = \mathfrak{p}^n$ for some integer $n \geq 0$. The set $\Sigma(\Omega)$ appearing in (5) above is then given by either Σ or $\Sigma \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, where Σ denotes the finite set of places v of F consisting of the archimedean places of F together with the finite places of F which remain inert in K and divide $\mathfrak{N}$ to odd power. Moreover, we have that $\Sigma(\Omega) = \Sigma$ if either (i) $\mathfrak{p}$ does not divide $\mathfrak{N}$, (ii) $\mathfrak{p}$ splits in K, or else (iii) the exponent n is sufficiently large. Thus, we have for all but finitely many ring class characters Ω of X that

$$\epsilon(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) = (-1)^{[F:\mathbf{Q}]} \cdot \eta_{K/F}(\mathfrak{N}'), \tag{6}$$

with this formula (6) holding for all such Ω if either (i), (ii), or (iii) is true. Taking $k \in \{0,1\}$ to be the integer defined by the condition

$$k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \epsilon(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) = +1 \text{ generically as } \Omega \text{ varies} \\ 1 & \text{if } \epsilon(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) = -1 \text{ generically as } \Omega \text{ varies,} \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

it is not hard to see that our π transfers to an automorphic representation π' on the quaternion algebra B over F determined uniquely up to isomorphism by the ramification condition

$$\mathrm{Ram}(B) = \begin{cases} \Sigma & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \Sigma - \{\tau\} & \text{if } k = 1, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where τ is some fixed real place of F. Hence, B is a totally definite quaternion algebra in the $k = 0$ setting (the so-called *definite* setting in [5]), or else split at one real place τ in the $k = 1$ setting (the so-called *indefinite* setting in [5]). Assuming as we always shall that π is cohomological, and hence that its Hecke eigenvalues define algebraic numbers, let us write $\mathcal{O}$ to denote the ring of integers of a fixed extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ containing the Hecke field $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ and the values of the characters Ω of X. We shall then consider the $\mathcal{O}$-Iwasawa algebra of X,

$$\mathcal{O}[[X]] = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}[X_n].$$

The elements of this group algebra $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ can be identified with their corresponding $\mathcal{O}$-valued measures on X (see e.g. [21, §7]). We shall make such an identification implicitly throughout the rest of this work. We start by giving the following the construction in the $k = 0$ setting, where we shall impose the following global conditions on the representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$.

Hypothesis 1.3. *The representation $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ is a holomorphic discrete series of parallel weight 2, conductor $\mathfrak{N} = c(\pi) \subset \mathcal{O}_F$, and trivial central character. The prime-to-$\mathfrak{p}$-part $\mathfrak{N}'$ of $\mathfrak{N}$ is coprime to the relative discriminant of K over F, whence $\mathfrak{N} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ admits the unique factorization $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{p}^\delta \mathfrak{N}^+ \mathfrak{N}^-$ described above. Additionally, we shall assume that $\delta \in \{0,1\}$, and moreover that each prime of $\mathcal{O}_F$ dividing the ideal $\mathfrak{p}^\delta \mathfrak{N}^+$ splits in K (to ensure the existence of a suitable optimal embedding).*

We first give the following construction in the definite setting of $k = 0$ on the generic root number $\epsilon(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$, whence our π transfers to a representation π' on the totally definite quaternion algebra B/F defined in (8) above.

Theorem 1.4 (Proposition 4.14, Corollary 4.16)**.** *Suppose $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ satisfies Hypothesis 1.3 above, and that we are in the definite setting of $k = 0$ on the generic root number described in* (7)*. Suppose as well that the conditions of Theorem 1.1 and Hypothesis 1.2 hold. Then, there exists an element $\mathfrak{L}_\mathfrak{p}(\pi', K)$ in $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ whose specialization $\Omega(\mathfrak{L}_\mathfrak{p}(\pi', K)) = \int_X \Omega(\sigma) d\mathfrak{L}_\mathfrak{p}(\pi', K)(\sigma)$ to Ω any character of X of conductor $\mathfrak{p}^n$ (with $n \geq 1$) satisfies the following interpolation formula:*

$$\Omega(\mathfrak{L}_\mathfrak{p}(\pi', K)) = \int_{X_n} \Omega(t) d\mathfrak{L}_\mathfrak{p}(\pi', K)(t) = \alpha_\mathfrak{p}^{2(\delta - 1 - n)} \mathcal{L}(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p.$$

Here, writing $q_\mathfrak{p}$ to denote the cardinality of the residue field of $\mathfrak{p}$, $\alpha_\mathfrak{p} = \alpha_\mathfrak{p}(\pi)$ denotes the unit root of the Hecke polynomial $t^2 - a_\mathfrak{p} t + q_\mathfrak{p}$ if $\delta = 0$ and otherwise the eigenvalue of the $U_\mathfrak{p}$ operators defined below if $\delta = 1$.

This result extends those given by Bertolini-Darmon in [1] and [2] for the totally real field $F = \mathbf{Q}$, as well as previous work of the author for the general totally real fields setting (see e.g. [29])[1]. Note that we do not attempt to give a precise characterization of the analytic μ-invariant for these elements $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi', K) \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]$ in this work. Such a characterization was in fact given incorrectly in [29]. Subsequent works by Hamieh [11], Chida-Hsieh [3], and Hung [15], each using variations the method of Vatsal [32], have now addressed this characterization problem in some detail, as has the excellent paper of Pollack-Weston [24] (for $F = \mathbf{Q}$). We therefore do not pursue the matter here. The novelty of the construction given here, which covers all of the antecedent constructions mentioned above, is that it is completely representation theoretic (in addition to being very general), allowing us for instance to use test vectors according to [20] and [7] to derive a far more precise interpolation formula (i.e. to derive formulae even more precise than those conjectured in [1]). It also seems apparent that this construction should carry over (with some work) to cohomological representations of GL_n, where very little is known at present.

The second aim of this work is to extend the construction of the elements $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi', K) \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]$ for Theorem 4.16 to the dichotomous setting of $k = 1$ where the root number $\epsilon(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$ is generically equal to -1 as Ω varies over the finite order class characters factoring through the profinite group $X = \varprojlim_n X_n$. That is, since the central values $L(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$ in this setting vanish as by the symmetric functional equation (3), we propose to construct a p-adic interpolation series for the central first derivative values $L'(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$, where the issue of defining suitable periods is a subtler problem. Such an extension is not a priori obvious, nor does it appear to be given elsewhere in the literature. Our construction here depends in a crucial way on the those given in the work of Yuan-Zhang-Zhang [35]. To state our main result for this second part, we shall first need to describe the central derivative formula of [35, Theorem 1.2] (see Corollary 2.4 below). Let us remark here that the proof of this result given in [35] is not merely a extension of the theorem of Gross-Zagier [9] to the setting of totally real number fields, but rather an important conceptual work that reformulates the problem in the purely representation theoretic terms of the theta correspondence that was exploited by Waldspurger [33] and predecessors. Let us for now give a preliminary sketch of their result, starting with the realization π_A of the Jacquet-Langlands transfer π' of π given in [35, §3] for our $k = 1$ setting.

Let A be a principally polarized abelian variety of GL_2-type parametrized by a quaternionic Shimura curve $M = \{M_H\}_H$ defined over F. Thus, A is defined over F, the endomorphism algebra $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \mathrm{End}(A) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ defines a number field L (of degree equal to the dimension of A), and there exists a nonconstant morphism $M_H \longrightarrow A$ for H some compact open subgroup of the unit group defined by $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times} = (B \otimes_F \mathbf{A}_{F,f})^{\times}$. Here, B (denoted by $B(\tau)$ in [35] and below) is the ambient quaternion algebra defined over F associated to the Shimura curve M. It is also the quaternion algebra B defined over F by (8) above for the setting of $k = 1$. Writing J_H to denote the Jacobian of the curve M_H, we shall consider the space defined by

$$\pi_A = \mathrm{Hom}^0(J, A) = \varinjlim_H \mathrm{Hom}_F(J_H, A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q},$$

[1]The existence of such an interpolation series is by no means new however, and has been given by completely different methods e.g. in Hida [13], [14] (cf. [22]) and Haran [12] (cf. [19]).

as constructed in [35, §3.2, Theorem 3.8] (cf. [35, §1.2.3]). To recount this very briefly, π_A can be viewed as an automorphic representation of the unit group $\mathbb{B}^\times$, where $\mathbb{B}$ is a certain totally definite incoherent quaternion algebra defined over $\mathbf{A}_F$ (see [35, §1.2.1] or the discussion given below for definitions). This automorphic representation π_A admits a natural decomposition $\pi_A = \otimes_v \pi_{A,v}$ into irreducible admissible representations $\pi_{A,v}$ of the local unit groups $\mathbb{B}_v^\times$ over F_v. Our conditions on the abelian variety A imply that π_A is self-dual, with trivial central character $\omega_A = \mathbf{1}$. Moreover, our inherent conditions on the incoherent quaternion algebra $\mathbb{B}$ imply that for Ω any idele class character of K whose restriction to $\mathbf{A}_F^\times$ is trivial, the root number $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)$ of the associated Rankin-Selberg L-function $L(s, \pi_A \times \Omega)$ is equal to -1, whence the central value $L(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)$ vanishes by the functional equation (3). Thus, it makes sense to study the first derivative central value $L'(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)$. The main result of [35] relates this derivative value $L'(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)$ to a certain generator of the nontrivial space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_K^\times}(\pi_A, \Omega)$. To describe this relationship very briefly, we shall have to first define the following local functionals α_v studied in [35]. Note that we have already used the symbol $\alpha_\mathfrak{p}$ to denote the unit root of the Hecke polynomial $t^2 - a_\mathfrak{p} t + q_\mathfrak{p}$ in the discussion above; we trust that the distinction between these notations should be clear. Let us also for simplicity write π_v to denote the local representation $\pi_{A,v}$ of $\mathbb{B}_f^\times$. To define the linear functionals α_v, let us for each place v of F fix a Haar measure dt_v on $K_v^\times / F_v^\times$ such that (i) the product measure defined over all places v of F is the Tamagawa measure on $\mathbf{A}_K^\times / K^\times$ and (ii) the maximal compact subgroup $\mathcal{O}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ is assigned as a volume some rational number. Then, for given vectors $\varphi_{1,v} \in \pi_v$ and $\varphi_{2,v} \in \pi_v^\vee$, the local component α_v is defined formally by the ratio of values

$$\alpha(\varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v}) := \frac{L(1, \eta_v) L(1, \pi_v, \mathrm{ad})}{\zeta_{F_v}(2) L(1/2, \pi_v \times \Omega_v)} \int_{K_v^\times / F_v^\times} (\pi_v(t)\varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v})_v \Omega_v(t) dt.$$

Fixing an embedding $\iota : L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, this local integral can be seen to take values in L independently of the choice of embedding ι, as explained in [35, §1.3]. The local invariant pairings $(\cdot,\cdot)_v : \pi_v \times \pi_v^\vee \longrightarrow R$ also satisfy the compatibility relation $(\cdot,\cdot) = \otimes_v (\cdot,\cdot)_v$, where $(\cdot,\cdot) : \pi_A \times \pi_A^\vee \longrightarrow R$ denotes the perfect $\mathbb{B}^\times$-invariant pairing introduced below. The following formula, which is the main result of the [35], relates this element $\alpha = \otimes \alpha_v$ to the central derivative values $L'(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)$.

Theorem 1.5 (Yuan-Zhang-Zhang)**.** *Given decomposable vectors $\varphi_1 \in \pi_A$ and $\varphi_2 \in \pi_A^\vee$ in the setup described above, we have the following identity in $\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{C}$*

$$\langle P_\Omega^\mathbb{B}(\varphi_1), P_{\Omega^{-1}}^\mathbb{B}(\varphi_2) \rangle_L = \frac{\zeta(2) L'(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)}{4 L(1, \eta)^2 L(1, \pi_A, \mathrm{ad})} \cdot \alpha(\varphi_1, \varphi_2).$$

Proof. The result is a consequence of [35, Theorem 1.2], see Corollary 2.4 below. □

Using this result, we shall construct the following p-adic interpolation measure. Our construction requires the choosing a certain $x_n \in \mathbb{B}_\mathfrak{p}^\times / R_\mathfrak{p}^\times$ for each integer $n \geq 1$ to obtain suitable distribution relations. We commit another minor abuse of notation in also writing x_n to denote its associated adele class in $\mathbb{B}_f^\times / H$, for $H = H^\mathfrak{p} R_\mathfrak{p}^\times$ a suitably-defined compact open subgroup of $\mathbb{B}_f^\times$.

Theorem 1.6 (Proposition 4.19)**.** *Suppose that the incoherent quaternion algebra $\mathbb{B}$ is split at $\mathfrak{p}$, whence we can and do fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{B}_\mathfrak{p} \approx \mathrm{M}_2(F_\mathfrak{p})$. Let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ by the cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi = \pi_A$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ described above,*

which we shall assume satisfies the conditions of Hypotheses 1.2 and 1.3, i.e. for the indefinite setting of $k = 1$ on the generic root number described in (7)*. There exists an element $D_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K) = (D_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K)(x_n))_{n\geq 1}$ in $A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}}[[X]]$, depending on the choice of sequence of local classes $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$, whose specialization after composition with the Néron-Tate height pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_L$, i.e.*

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_L \circ \Omega(D_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K)) = \langle\ ,\ \rangle_L \circ \int_X \Omega(\sigma) dD_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K)(\sigma),$$

to any character Ω of X of conductor $\mathfrak{p}^n$ (with $n \geq 1$), satisfies the following interpolation formula:

$$\Omega(D_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K) \circ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_L) = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi_A)^{-2(1-\delta-n)} \cdot \frac{\zeta(2)L'(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)}{4L(1,\eta)^2 L(1, \pi_A, \mathrm{ad})} \cdot \alpha(x_n \cdot \varphi, x_n \cdot \varphi).$$

Here, $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi_A)$ denotes the unit root of the Hecke polynomial $t^2 - a_{\mathfrak{p}}t + q_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Remark Since the writing of this work, there has been a different construction of a related interpolation series by Liu-Zhang-Zhang [34].

Outline of article. We first review central value formulae in §2, and then the distribution relations of Cornut-Vatsal [5, §6] in §3, before giving the two main constructions of p-adic interpolation series in §4.

1.1. **Notations.** Given a prime v of $\mathcal{O}_F$, we let F_v to denote the completion of F at v, and $\mathcal{O}_{F_v}$ the ring of integers of F_v if v is finite. If E is either a quadratic extension of F or a quaternion algebra over F, then we write $E_v = E \otimes_F F_v$. If R is a module over the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$ of F, then we write $R_v = R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathcal{O}_{F_v}$. We write $\mathbf{A}$ to denote the ring of adeles of $\mathbf{Q}$, with $\mathbf{A}_f \times \mathbf{R}$ to denote the decomposition into the finite adeles $\mathbf{A}_f$. We then write $\mathbf{A}_F = \mathbf{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} F$ and $\mathbf{A}_K = \mathbf{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} K$. We also use the standard hat notation, e.g. $\widehat{F} = \mathbf{A}_f \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} F$. Thus, $\widehat{F} = \widehat{O}_F \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F = \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$ is the profinite completion of $\mathcal{O}_F$. Given M a finitely generated $\mathbf{Z}$-module, we also write $\widehat{M} = M \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$ to denote the profinite completion of M. Notations for L-values are adopted from the sources (namely [5], [20], [7], and [35]).

2. Central value formulae and algebraicity

We include some details about the special value formulae mentioned above for completeness of exposition. Keep all of the setup from from the discussion above.

2.1. **Central values for the case of $\epsilon = +1$.** Suppose that $\epsilon = \epsilon(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) = +1$. Recall that in this case there exists a quaternion algebra B over F such that (i) K embeds into B, (ii) $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ transfers to an automorphic representation $\pi' = \otimes \pi'_v$ on $B^\times(\mathbf{A}_F)$, and (iii) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_K^\times}(\pi', \Omega)$ is not identically zero. Such a quaternion algebra is in fact determined by the local factors of ϵ by the theorem of Tunnell and Saito (see [10, Proposition 1.1]). In this setting, we shall use the formulae of [20] and [7] given in Theorem 1.1 to relate the values $L(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$ to the automorphic periods $P_\Omega(\varphi)$. Let us thus define the test vectors and local factors appearing in the formula of Theorem 1.1 above for completeness of exposition, and then describe briefly the algebraicity properties satisfied by these values.

2.1.1. *Choices of vectors.* Assume for simplicity that π' has trivial central character. Given a prime $v \in V_F$ which is inert in K, and for which $c(\pi_v), c(\Omega_v) > 0$, let us also assume that $c(\Omega_v) \geq c(\pi_v)$. We shall later choose a sequence of local vectors $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p},n}$ in $\pi'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for our construction. Since we wish to use the result of Theorem 1.1 to derive a precise interpolation formula for this construction, we shall have to ensure that our local vectors are test vectors in the sense of [7] (cf. [10]). According to [7, Theorem 1.7, §1.2.3], these test vectors can be characterized as follows.

Theorem 2.1 (File-Martin-Pitale)**.** *Given a prime $v \subset \mathcal{O}_F$, let $\mathfrak{P}_v \subset \mathcal{O}_{F_v}$ be the maximal ideal, and fix a uniformizer ϖ_v. Let π_v be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ with central character ω_v and conductor $\mathfrak{P}_v^{c(\pi_v)}$. Let Ω_v be a character of $K_v^\times$ for which $\Omega_v|_{F_v^\times} = \omega_v$. Assume that $c(\Omega_v) \geq c(\pi_v) > 0$. Viewing $K_v^\times$ as a torus of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ via the embedding defined in* [7, §2.3]*, we have that $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{Hom}_{K_v^\times}(\pi_v, \Omega_v)) = 1$. Moreover, writing*

$$\mathcal{K}_1(\mathfrak{P}_v^n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) : c \in \mathfrak{P}_v^n, d \in 1 + \mathfrak{P}_v^n \right\},$$

and

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_v^{c(\Omega_v)-c(\pi_v)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varpi_v^{c(\Omega_v)-c(\pi_v)} \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the following is true: Given $l_v \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K_v^\times}(\pi_v, \Omega_v)$ a nonzero functional, the subgroup $h\mathcal{K}_1(\mathfrak{P}_v^{c(\pi_v)})h^{-1} \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ fixes a 1-dimensional subspace of π_v consisting of test vectors for l_v.

Taken with the construction of Gross-Prasad [10] (for $c(\Omega_v) \geq c(\pi_v) = 0$), this result has the following interpretation (see [7, §1.2.3]). Assume for simplicity that the central character ω of π is trivial. Let R_v be an order of $\mathrm{M}_2(F_v)$. Let $d(R_v)$ be the exponent of its reduced discriminant. Let $c(R_v)$ denote the exponent of its conductor, i.e. the smallest integer $c \geq 0$ for which $\mathcal{O}_{F_v} + \varpi_v^c \mathcal{O}_{K_v} \subseteq R_v$. The local order R_v can fix a test vector only if $c(R_v) \geq c(\Omega_v)$. Intuitively, it seems reasonable to expect that if such a local order R_v satisfies the conditions $c(R_v) = c(\Omega_v)$ and $d(R_v) = c(\pi_v)$, then it might select a local test vector in π_v, i.e. so that the subgroup $R_v^\times$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ fixes a 1-dimensional space of test vectors for any nonzero linear functional $l_v \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K_v^\times}(\pi_v, \Omega_v)$. If it is the case that either $c(\pi_v)$ or $c(\Omega_v)$ equals 0, then it is shown in [10] that such an order R_v exists, and that is unique up to conjugation by $K_v^\times$. Moreover, this order is maximal if $c(\pi_v) = 0$, and Eichler if $c(\pi_v) > 0$. If it is the case that $c(\Omega_v) \geq c(\pi_v) > 0$, then the invariants $d(R_v)$ and $c(R_v)$ no longer specify such an order R_v uniquely. However, the result of Theorem 2.1 can be used to give the following interpretation of the problem, as explained in File-Martin-Pitale [7, §1.2.3]. Namely, in the setting where $c(\Omega_v) \geq c(\pi_v) > 0$, there exists an Eichler order R_v of $\mathrm{M}_2(F_v)$ with $c(R_v) = c(\Omega_v)$ and $d(R_v) = c(\pi_v)$ for which the subgroup $R_v^\times$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ fixes a line or test vectors in π_v. Moreover, this Eichler order R_v can be expressed uniquely at the intersection or two maximal orders $R_{1,v}$ and $R_{2,v}$ for which $c(R_{1,v}) = c(\Omega_v)$ and $c(R_{2,v}) = c(\Omega_v) - c(\pi_v)$. We shall use these results of [10] and [7] below, specifically in the setting where $v = \mathfrak{p}$, $n = c(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq 0$, and the exponent $\delta = c(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})$ equals 0 or 1 respectively.

2.1.2. *Algebraicity.* We can deduce the following algebraicity result independently of the well-known classical analogue shown by Shimura [26]. Let π^σ denote the

representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ defined by the rule that sends the eigenvalues of π to their images under $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$. Let Ω^σ denote the character defined by the rule $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \Omega(\mathfrak{a})^\sigma$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $L = \mathbf{Q}(\pi, \Omega)$ be the finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ obtained by adjoining the eigenvalues of π and the values of Ω. If B is totally definite, then the values*

$$\mathcal{L}(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta_F}{c(\Omega)\Delta_K}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{S(\Omega)}(1,\eta) L_{S(\pi)\cup S(\Omega)}(1, \mathbf{1}_F) L^{S(\pi)}(2, \mathbf{1}_F)$$
$$\times \prod_{v \in S(\pi)\cap S(\Omega)^c} e(K_v/F_v) \times \prod_{v \in \Sigma_\infty^F} C_v(K, \pi, \Omega) \times \frac{L^{S_0(\pi)}(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)}{L^{S_0(\pi)}(1, \pi, \mathrm{ad})}$$

and algebraic, and lie in the field $L \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. Moreover, there is a natural action of $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$ on these values given by the rule $\mathcal{L}(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)^\sigma = \mathcal{L}(1/2, \pi^\gamma \times \Omega^\sigma)$, where γ denotes the restriction of σ to the Hecke field $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ of π.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, we have that

$$\mathcal{L}(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) = |P_\Omega^B(\varphi)|^2/(\varphi, \varphi). \tag{9}$$

Now, we can view φ as an automorphic function on the set $C(B; H) = B^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times / H$, for H some compact open subgroup of $\widehat{B}^\times$. This function is determined uniquely up to multiplication by nonzero complex scalar by the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. Moreover, since B is totally definite, the space $C(B; H)$ is finite. Using this fact, it is easy to see that we can fix a basis for the space of automorphic forms on $C(B; H)$ taking values in $\mathbf{Z}(\pi)$. The result is then simple to deduce from that fact that $P_\Omega^B(\varphi)$ is a finite integral, using the expression (9). □

2.2. **Central derivative values for the case of $\epsilon = -1$.** Let us now suppose that $\epsilon = \epsilon(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) = -1$. If we assume additionally that K/F is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real field F, then we have the following formula of Yuan-Zhang-Zhang [35] for the central derivative values $L'(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$, as outlined above. We now give some more details according to [35, §1.2, 1.3, 3.2].

2.2.1. *Incoherent quaternion algebras.* Fix a finite set of places Σ of F.

Definition Let $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B}(\Sigma)$ denote the unique $\mathbf{A}_F$-algebra, free of rank 4 as an $\mathbf{A}_F$-module, whose localization $\mathbb{B}_v$ at a place v of F is isomorphic to $\mathrm{M}_2(F_v)$ if $v \notin \Sigma$, and to the unique division quaternion algebra over F_v if $v \in \Sigma$. We shall refer to $\mathbb{B}$ as the *incoherent quaternion algebra associated to the set* Σ.

Note that when the cardinality of Σ is even, $\mathbb{B} = B \otimes_F \mathbf{A}_F$ for some unique (up to isomorphism) quaternion algebra B defined over F. We shall assume for our applications however that the cardinality of Σ is odd, whence $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B}(\Sigma)$ does not arise as the basechange of any quaternion algebra defined over F. Thus, let us assume from now on that the finite set of places Σ has odd cardinality.

2.2.2. *Shimura curves.* Fix a totally definite incoherent quaternion algebra $\mathbb{B}$ over $\mathbf{A}_F$. Let $\mathbb{B}_f = (\mathbb{B} \times_{\mathbf{A}_F} \widehat{F})^\times$ denote the finite adelic points. Given $H \subset \mathbb{B}_f^\times$ any compact open subgroup, let M_H denote the associated (compactified) Shimura curve over F, whose points at a real place τ of F determine a Riemann surface

$$M_{H,\tau}(\mathbf{C}) = B(\tau)^\times \backslash \mathfrak{H}^\pm \times \mathbb{B}_f^\times / H \times \{\text{cusps}\}.$$

Here, $B(\tau)$ is the unique quaternion algebra over F (up to isomorphism) determined by the ramification condition $\mathrm{Ram}(B(\tau)) = \Sigma - \{\tau\}$, and $B(\tau)^\times$ acts on $\mathfrak{H}^\pm$ via a fixed isomorphism $B(\tau)_\tau \approx \mathrm{M}_2(\mathbf{R})$. Note that $B(\tau)_\tau \approx \mathbb{B}_f$ as $\widehat{F}$-algebras. Note as well that the set of cusps $\{\mathrm{cusps}\}$ is nonempty if and only if (i) $F = \mathbf{Q}$ is the rational number field and (ii) $\Sigma = \{\infty\}$ is the real place.

Given compact open subgroups $H_1, H_2 \subset \mathbb{B}_f^\times$ for which the inclusion $H_1 \subset H_2$ holds, there is a natural and surjective morphism

$$\pi_{H_1,H_2} : M_{H_1} \longrightarrow M_{H_2}.$$

We shall write $M = \{M_H\}_H$ to denote the associated projective system. Note that each M_H can be identified with the quotient of M by the action of H.

2.2.3. *Hodge classes.* A *Hodge class* on a Shimura curve M_H is a line bundle L_H on $\mathrm{Pic}(M_H)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ whose global sections are holomorphic forms for parallel weight 2. We refer to [35, §1.2] for a more explicit description of these classes. Given a connected component $\beta \in \pi_0(M_{H,\overline{F}})$, we write $L_{H,\beta} = L_H|_{M_{H,\beta}}$ to denote the restriction of L_H to the connected component $M_{H,\beta}$ of M_H corresponding to β. We then view this $L_{H,\beta}$ as a divisor on M_H via the pushforward under $M_{H,\beta} \longrightarrow M_H$.

Definition Given a Hodge class L_H and a connected component $\beta \in \pi_0(M_{H,\beta})$, the *normalized Hodge class on* $M_{H,\beta}$ is the weighted class $\xi_{H,\beta} = L_{H,\beta}/\deg(L_{H,\beta})$. The *normalized Hodge class on* M_H is the sum $\xi_H = \sum_\beta \xi_{H,\beta}$.

2.2.4. *Abelian varieties parametrized by Shimura curves.* Let $M = \{M_H\}_H$ be a Shimura curve defined over F. A simple abelian variety A defined over F is said to be *parametrized by* M if for some compact open subgroup $H \subset \mathbb{B}_f^\times$, there exists a non constant morphism $M_H \longrightarrow A$ defined over F. It is known by Eichler-Shimura theory that if such an abelian variety A parametrized by M is of *strict* GL_2*-type* in the sense that (i) $R = \mathrm{End}_F(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ is a field and (ii) $\mathrm{Lie}(A)$ is a free module of rank 1 over $R \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} F$ by the induced action. Given such an abelian variety A, define

$$\pi_A = \mathrm{Hom}^0_\xi(M, A) = \varinjlim_H \mathrm{Hom}^0_{\xi_U}(M_H, A).$$

Here, each $\mathrm{Hom}^0_{\xi_H}(M_H, A)$ denotes the morphisms in the space

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0(M_H, A) = \mathrm{Hom}_F(M_H A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$$

having basepoint equal to the Hodge class ξ_H. This π_A has the natural structure of a $\mathbb{B}_f^\times$-module. Moreover, as shown in [35, Theorem 3.8], this π_A in fact defines an ($A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} = A(\overline{F}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$-valued) automorphic representation of $\mathbb{B}^\times$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. The description of [35, §3.2.2] also shows that there is a natural identification $R = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{B}^\times}(\pi_A)$, as well as a decomposition $\pi_A = \otimes_v \pi_{A,v}$ into absolutely irreducible representations $\pi_{A,v}$ of $\mathbb{B}_v^\times$ over R. Finally, since any morphism $M_H \longrightarrow A$ factors through the Jacobian J_H of M_H, we can and do redefine this automorphic representation in the simpler form

$$\pi_A = \mathrm{Hom}^0(J, A) = \varinjlim_H \mathrm{Hom}^0(J_H, A).$$

Here, in the same style as above, we have put $\mathrm{Hom}^0(J_H, A) = \mathrm{Hom}_F(J_H, A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$.

2.2.5. *Dual abelian varieties.* Let us write $A^\vee$ to denote the dual of an abelian variety A. If A is parametrized by a Shimura curve $M = \{M_U\}_U$ in the sense defined above, then so too is $A^\vee$. Moreover, writing $R^\vee = \mathrm{End}_F(A^\vee) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$, there is a canonical isomorphism $R \approx R^\vee$ obtained by sending a homomorphism $r : A \longrightarrow A$ to its dual $r^\vee : A^\vee \longrightarrow A^\vee$.

2.2.6. *Pairings.* Let us first consider the perfect, $\mathbb{B}^\times$-invariant pairing

$$(\cdot,\cdot) : \pi_A \times \pi_{A^\vee} \longrightarrow R$$

defined on elements $\varphi_{1,H} \in \mathrm{Hom}(J_H, A)$ and $\varphi_{2,H} \in \mathrm{Hom}(J_H, A)$ by

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \left(\varphi_{1,H} \circ \varphi_{2,H}^\vee\right) / \mathrm{vol}(M_H).$$

Here, J_H denotes the Jacobian of the Shimura curve M_H, and $\varphi_{2,H}^\vee : A \longrightarrow J_H$ the dual of $\varphi_{2,H}$ composed with the canonical isomorphism $J_H^\vee \approx J_H$. This description implies that $\pi_{A^\vee}$ is in fact that dual of π_A as a representation of $\mathbb{B}^\times$ over R.

Let us also consider the Néron-Tate height pairing (as defined e.g. in [35, §7]), which recall is a $\mathbf{Q}$-bilinear non-degenerate pairing

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} \times A^\vee(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}.$$

Here, we written $A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} = A(\overline{F}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ and $A^\vee(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} = A^\vee(\overline{F}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ to denote the tensor products appearing throughout [35]. Of course, the Néron-Tate pairing is defined classically on the groups $A(\overline{F})$ and $A^\vee(\overline{F})$, and then extended in a natural way to these tensor products. Anyhow, this extended Néron-Tate pairing in fact descends to a $\mathbf{Q}$-linear map

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_R A^\vee(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}.$$

Some more explanation of this fact is given in [35, §1.2.3] (cf. [35, Proposition 7.3]).

Now, given $a \in R$, $x \in A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}}$, and $y \in A^\vee(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}}$, the rule $a \mapsto \langle ax, y\rangle$ defines an element of the space $\mathrm{Hom}(R, \mathbf{R})$. As explained in [35, §1.2.4], the trace map can be used to construct an isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}(R, \mathbf{R}) \approx R \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$, whence we write $\langle x, y\rangle_R$ to denote the corresponding element of the space $R \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$. This gives us the construction of an R-bilinear pairing

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_R : A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_R A^\vee(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$$

for which

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}_{R\otimes\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{R}}\ \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_R.$$

We shall refer to this R-linear pairing as the *R-linear Néron-Tate pairing.*

Remark Observe that by replacing the number field R by its ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_R$, then also obtain via this construction an $\mathcal{O}_R$-linear pairing

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{O}_R} : A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_R A^\vee(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$$

for which

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}_R\otimes\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{R}}\ \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{O}_R}.$$

2.2.7. *CM points.* Let us now fix an embedding $\mathbf{A}_K \to \mathbb{B}$ over $\mathbf{A}_F$. The adele group $\mathbf{A}_K^\times$ acts on $\{M_H\}_H$ by right multiplication via the embedding $\mathbf{A}_K^\times \to \mathbb{B}^\times$. Let $M^{K^\times}$ denote the subscheme of M fixed by $K^\times$ under this action. The theory of complex multiplication implies that each point of $M^{K^\times}(\overline{F})$ is defined over the maximal abelian extension K^{ab} of K. Fix a point $Q \in M^{K^\times}(K^{\mathrm{ab}})$, and hence a point $Q_H \in M_H(K^{\mathrm{ab}})$ for each compact open subgroup $H \subset \mathbb{B}_f^\times$. We shall normalize each complex uniformization

$$M_{H,\tau}(\mathbf{C}) = B(\tau)^\times \backslash \mathfrak{H}^\pm \times \mathbb{B}_f^\times / H \times \{\text{cusps}\}$$

in such a way that Q_H is represented by $[z_0, 1]$, where $z_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$ is the unique fixed point of $K^\times$ via the action induced by the embedding $K \to B(\tau)$. Note that for any vector $\varphi \in \pi_A$, we obtain a well-defined point $\varphi(Q) \in A(K^{\mathrm{ab}})$.

2.2.8. *Automorphic periods.* Fix a finite-order character $\Omega : \mathbf{A}_K/K^\times \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ taking values in some subfield L of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ containing the field $R = \mathrm{End}_F(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$. Recall that given a simple abelian variety A over F parametrized by a Shimura curve $M = \{M_H\}_H$ over F, we have some associated automorphic representation $\pi_A = \otimes_v \pi_{A,v}$ of $\mathbb{B}^\times$. We shall denote the central character of π_A by $\omega_A = \otimes_v \omega_{A,v}$, as well as impose the usual condition that $\omega_A \cdot \Omega|_{\mathbf{A}_F^\times} = \mathbf{1}$. We then define for any choice of vector $\varphi \in \pi_A$ the period integral

$$P_\Omega^{\mathbb{B}}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbf{A}_K^\times/K^\times} \varphi(Q^t) \otimes_R \Omega(t) dt \in A(K^{\mathrm{ab}}) \otimes_R L. \tag{10}$$

Here, dt is the Haar measure on $\mathbf{A}_K^\times/K^\times$ having total volume 1. An analogous definition is of course be made for the dual representation $\pi_{A^\vee}$. It is also the case that we have the inclusion

$$P_\Omega^{\mathbb{B}}(\varphi) \in A(\Omega) := \left(A(K^{\mathrm{ab}}) \otimes_R L_\Omega\right)^{G_K^{\mathrm{ab}}},$$

where L_Ω denotes the R-vector space L with action of G_K^{ab} given by the character Ω, and that the correspondence $\varphi \mapsto P_\Omega^{\mathbb{B}}(\varphi)$ defines an element of

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_K^\times}(\pi_A \otimes \Omega, L) \otimes_L A(\Omega)$$

We refer again to the discussion in [35, §1.3] for more details.

2.2.9. *Main formula.* Keep the setup described above. The theorem of Tunnel and Saito (cf. [35, Theorem 1.3]) implies that the space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_K^\times}(\pi_A \otimes \Omega, L)$ is at most 1-dimensional. Moreover, the theorem implies that this space has dimension 1 if and only if the ramification set $\Sigma = \mathrm{Ram}(\mathbb{B})$ of the incoherent quaternion algebra $\mathbb{B}$ over $\mathbf{A}_F$ is given by

$$\Sigma = \Sigma(A, \Omega) = \{v \in V_F : \epsilon(1/2, \pi_{A,v} \times \Omega_v) \neq \Omega_v(-1)\eta_v(-1)\}.$$

Here, we have written V_F to denote the set of all places of F, and

$$\epsilon(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega) = \prod_{v \in V_F} \epsilon(1/2, \pi_{A,v} \times \Omega_v)$$

to denote the root number of the Rankin-Selberg L-function

$$L(s, \pi_A \times \Omega) = \prod_{v \in V_F} L(s, \pi_{A,v} \times \Omega_v).$$

In this setting, the root number $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)$ is equal to -1, whence the central value $L(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)$ is forced by the functional equation to vanish.

Let us now commit and abuse of notation in writing $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ to denote the automorphic representation $\pi_A = \mathrm{Hom}^0(J, A)$ of $\mathbb{B}^\times$ introduced above. Let us also assume that the space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_K^\times}(\pi_A \otimes \Omega, L)$ has dimension 1. The main result of [35] relates the value $L'(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$ to a certain generator of this space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_K^\times}(\pi \otimes \Omega, L)$. To be more precise, let

$$\mathcal{P}(\pi, \Omega) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_K^\times}(\pi \otimes \Omega, L).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{P}(\pi_v, \Omega_v) = \mathrm{Hom}_{K_v^\times}(\pi_v \otimes \Omega_v, L)$$

for each place v of F, whence there is a decompositions $\mathcal{P}(\pi, \Omega) = \otimes_v \mathcal{P}(\pi_v, \Omega_v)$, as well as an analogous decomposition $\mathcal{P}(\pi^\vee, \Omega^{-1}) = \otimes_v \mathcal{P}(\pi_v^\vee, \Omega_v^{-1})$ for the associated contragredient representations. The main idea of [35] is to find, analogously to the theta correspondence calculations of Waldspurger [33], an explicit generator

$$\alpha = \otimes_v \alpha_v \in \mathcal{P}(\pi, \Omega) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\pi^\vee, \Omega^{-1}) = \otimes_v \mathcal{P}(\pi_v, \Omega_v) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\pi_v^\vee, \Omega_v^{-1}).$$

The generator $\alpha = \otimes \alpha_v$ they obtain is defined formally as follows. For each place v of F, fix a Haar measure dt_v on $K_v^\times / F_v^\times$ such that (i) the product measure over all places v gives the Tamagawa measure on $\mathbf{A}_K^\times / K^\times$ and (ii) the maximal compact subgroup $\mathcal{O}_{K_v}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times$ has a volume in $\mathbf{Q}$ for each nonarchimedean place v. Then, for vectors $\varphi_{1,v} \in \pi_v$ and $\varphi_{2,v} \in \pi_v^\vee$, the local component α_v is defined formally by

$$\alpha(\varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v}) := \frac{L(1, \eta_v) L(1, \pi_v, \mathrm{ad})}{\zeta_{F_v}(2) L(1/2, \pi_v \times \Omega_v)} \int_{K_v^\times / F_v^\times} (\pi_v(t)\varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v})_v \Omega_v(t) dt.$$

Fixing an embedding $\iota : L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, this local integral can be seen to take values in L (independently of the choice of embedding ι), as explained in [35, §1.3]. The local invariant pairings $(\cdot, \cdot)_v : \pi_v \times \pi_v^\vee \longrightarrow R$ also satisfy the compatibility relation $(\cdot, \cdot) = \otimes_v (\cdot, \cdot)_v$, where $(\cdot, \cdot) : \pi_A \times \pi_A^\vee \longrightarrow R$ denotes the perfect $\mathbb{B}^\times$-invariant pairing introduced above. The following formula, which is the main result of the [35], relates this element $\alpha = \otimes \alpha_v$ to the central derivative values $L'(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that $\omega_A \cdot \Omega|_{\mathbf{A}_F^\times} = \mathbf{1}$. Then, for any decomposable vectors $\varphi_1 \in \pi_A$ and $\varphi_2 \in \pi_A^\vee$, we have the following identity in $L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$*

$$\langle P_\Omega^{\mathbb{B}}(\varphi_1), P_{\Omega^{-1}}^{\mathbb{B}}(\varphi_2) \rangle_L = \frac{\zeta(2) L'(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)}{4 L(1, \eta)^2 L(1, \pi_A, \mathrm{ad})} \cdot \alpha(\varphi_1, \varphi_2). \tag{11}$$

Proof. See Yuan-Zhang-Zhang, [35, Theorem 1.2]. □

We shall also use the following integral version of this result for our construction.

Corollary 2.4. *We have the following equality in $\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}$*

$$\langle P_\Omega^{\mathbb{B}}(\varphi_1), P_{\Omega^{-1}}^{\mathbb{B}}(\varphi_2) \rangle_L = \frac{\zeta(2) L'(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)}{4 L(1, \eta)^2 L(1, \pi_A, \mathrm{ad})} \cdot \alpha(\varphi_1, \varphi_2).$$

Proof. The result is easy to see, e.g. using the identification

$$\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R} \approx (\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R} = L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R},$$

or else extending the construction of the L-linear Néron-Tate height pairing to $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_L$ to an $\mathcal{O}_L$-linear pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ in the natural way. □

3. Distribution relations on quaternion algebras

We now recall some general distribution relations on split quaternion algebras, following the appendix of [5]. We shall use these results in our constructions below.

3.1. **Local quadratic orders.** Let K/F be any quadratic extension of number fields. Writing $\mathcal{O}_F$ and $\mathcal{O}_K$ to denote the rings of integers of F and K respectively, we consider the localizations $\mathcal{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}$ of these rings at a fixed prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Z be any $\mathcal{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$-order in $K_\mathfrak{p}$. Then, there exists a unique integer $n = l_\mathfrak{p}(Z) \geq 0$ for which $Z = \mathcal{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}} + \mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{O}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}$, whence we write $Z = Z_n$.*

Proof. The result is standard. See e.g. the proof given in [5, § 6.1]. □

Lemma 3.2. *For each integer $n \geq 0$, the left action of the local unit group $Z_n^\times$ on $\mathbf{P}(Z_n/\mathfrak{p}Z_n)$ factors through the quotient $Z_n^\times/Z_{n+1}^\times$. The fixed points of this action are given by the formulae*

$$\mathbf{P}(Z_n/\mathfrak{p}Z_n)^{Z_n^\times} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P} \text{ is inert} \\ \{\mathfrak{P}Z_0/\mathfrak{p}Z_0\} & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P}^2 \text{ is ramified} \\ \{\mathfrak{P}Z_0/\mathfrak{p}Z_0, \mathfrak{P}^*Z_0/\mathfrak{p}Z_0\} & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}^* \text{ is split} \\ \{\mathfrak{p}Z_{n-1}/\mathfrak{p}Z_n\} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

The remaining points are permuted faithfully and transitively.

Proof. See [5, Lemma 6.1]. □

3.2. **Distribution relations on split quaternion algebras.** Let us now consider any quaternion algebra B defined over F which (i) contains the quadratic extension K and (ii) splits at the fixed prime $\mathfrak{p}$. Let H be any compact open subgroup of $\widehat{B}^\times$ of the form $H = H^\mathfrak{p} R_\mathfrak{p}^\times$, where $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is an Eichler order of the local quaternion algebra $B_\mathfrak{p}$ of level $\mathfrak{p}^\delta$ for some integer $\delta \geq 0$. There is a left action of $K_\mathfrak{p}^\times$ on the quotient $B_\mathfrak{p}^\times/R_\mathfrak{p}^\times$ given by the rule

$$\gamma \star x = [\gamma b],\ \gamma \in K_\mathfrak{p}^\times,\ x = [b] \in B_\mathfrak{p}^\times/R_\mathfrak{p}^\times.$$

Given a class $x = [b]$ in $B_\mathfrak{p}^\times/R_\mathfrak{p}^\times$, the stabilizer of this action is given by $Z(x)^\times$, where $Z(x)$ is the $\mathcal{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$-order of $K_\mathfrak{p}$ determined by the intersection $Z(x) = K_\mathfrak{p} \cap bR_\mathfrak{p}b^{-1}$. Using Lemma 3.1 above, we assign to this class $x = [b]$ the uniquely determined integer $l_\mathfrak{p}(x) = l_\mathfrak{p}(Z(x)) \geq 0$, i.e., so that $\mathrm{Stab}_{K_\mathfrak{p}^\times}(x) = Z_{l_\mathfrak{p}(x)}^\times$. The main results of [5, Appendix § 6] use Lemma 3.2 to relate the trace operator

$$\mathrm{Tr}(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in Z_{l_\mathfrak{p}(x)-1}^\times / Z_{l_\mathfrak{p}(x)}^\times} \gamma \star x = \sum_{\gamma \in Z_{l_\mathfrak{p}(x)-1}^\times / Z_{l_\mathfrak{p}(x)}^\times} [\gamma b]$$

to the (local) Hecke operator

$$T_\mathfrak{p}(x) = [R_\mathfrak{p}^\times \xi_\mathfrak{p} R_\mathfrak{p}^\times](x).$$

To describe these relevant results in more detail, we shall divide into cases on the exponent $\delta \geq 0$ in the level of the local Eichler order $R_\mathfrak{p}$.

3.2.1. *The case of* $\delta = 0$. Suppose that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has level $\mathfrak{p}^{\delta} = 1$, whence $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a maximal order in $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let V be a simple left $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module for which $V \approx F_{\mathfrak{p}}^2$ as an $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$-vector space. The embedding $K_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ endows V with the structure of a free rank one (left) $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(V)$ denote the set of $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$-lattices in V. Fix a base lattice $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\{\alpha \in B_{\mathfrak{p}} : \alpha L_0 \subset L_0\} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus we may fix a bijection

$$B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L},\ b \longmapsto bL_0. \tag{12}$$

The induced left action of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ on $\mathcal{L}$ is given by the rule

$$\gamma \star L = \gamma L,\ \gamma \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times},\ L = bL_0 \in \mathcal{L}.$$

The induced function $l_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on $\mathcal{L}$ is given by the rule that sends a lattice L to the unique integer $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) \geq 0$ for which $\{\gamma \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} : \gamma L \subset L\}$ equals $Z_{l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)}$.

Lemma 3.3. *The induced function* $l_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *on* $\mathcal{L}$ *defines a bijection*

$$K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}\backslash B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \approx K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}\backslash\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Proof. See [5, Lemma 6.2]. □

Let $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{L}]$ denote the free abelian group generated by $\mathcal{L}$.

Definition Let $L \in \mathcal{L}$ be a lattice.

(i) The *lower neighbours* of L are the sublattices $L' \subset L$ for which we have $L/L' \approx \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. The *upper neighbours* of L are the superlattices $L' \supset L$ for which we have $L'/L \approx \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

(ii) The *lower Hecke operator* $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}$ on $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{L}]$ is the operator that sends a lattice L to the sum of its lower neighbours. The *upper Hecke operator* $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{u}$ on $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{L}]$ is the operator that sends a lattice L to the sum of its upper neighbours.

(iii) Given a lattice $L \in \mathcal{L}$ with $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) \geq 1$, the *lower predecessor* of L is defined by the lattice $\mathrm{pr}_l(L) = \mathfrak{p}Z_{l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)-1}L$; the *upper predecessor* of L is defined by the lattice $\mathrm{pr}_u(L) = Z_{l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)-1}L$.

Remark As explained in [5, Remark 6.3], the lower Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}$ corresponds under the fixed bijection (12) to the double coset operator $[R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}]$, where we write $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}} \approx \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}})$ to denote some element of $\mathrm{nrd}(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Similarly, the upper Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{u}$ corresponds to the double coset operator $[R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}]$. Note also that [5, § 6] treats only the lower Hecke operators $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}$ for simplicity, the analogous discussion for the upper Hecke operators $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{u}$ being simple to deduce.

Let us for simplicity of notation define

$$\eta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P} \text{ is inert} \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P}^2 \text{ is ramified} \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}^* \text{ is split.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let* L *be a lattice in* $\mathcal{L}$.

(i) *If* $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) = 0$, *then there are precisely* $1 + \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *lower neighbours* $L' \subset L$ *for which* $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L') = 0$. *Explicitly, these lower neighbours are given by*

$$L' = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P} \text{ is inert} \\ \mathfrak{P}L & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P}^2 \text{ is ramified} \\ \mathfrak{P}L, \mathfrak{P}^*L & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}^* \text{ is split.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) > 0$, then there exists a unique lower neighbour $L' \subset L$ for which $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L') \leq l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)$. Explicitly, this lower neighbour $L' \subset L$ is given by the lower predecessor $L' = \mathrm{pr}_l(L) = \mathfrak{p}Z_{l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)-1}L$, which satisfies $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L') = l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) - 1$.*

(iii) *In either case, the remaining lower neighbours $L' \subset L$ satisfy the property that $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L') = l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) + 1$. These remaining lower neighbours L' are also permuted faithfully and transitively by the action of $Z^{\times}_{l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)}/Z^{\times}_{l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)+1}$, and moreover have L as their common upper predecessor.*

Proof. See [5, Lemma 6.5], the result is deduced from Lemma 3.2. □

One can deduce from this the following result.

Corollary 3.5. *Let x be a class in $B^{\times}_{\mathfrak{p}}/R^{\times}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \geq 1$. Then, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(x) = T^{l}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x') - x'',$$

where $x' = \mathrm{pr}_u(x)$, and

$$x'' = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = 1 \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P} \text{ is inert} \\ \varpi_{\mathfrak{P}}x' & \text{if } l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = 1 \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P}^2 \text{ is ramified} \\ (\varpi_{\mathfrak{P}} + \varpi_{\mathfrak{P}^*})x' & \text{if } l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = 1 \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}^* \text{ is split} \\ \mathrm{pr}_l(x') & \text{if } l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Here, for a prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$, $\varpi_{\mathfrak{P}}$ denotes a uniformizer of $\mathfrak{P}$ (which corresponds under the reciprocity map rec_K to the geometric Frobenius at $\mathfrak{P}$).

Remark The discussion and results above do not depend upon the choice of base lattice L_0 in $\mathcal{L}$; see [5, § 6.2] for more explanation.

3.2.2. *The case of $\delta = 1$.* Suppose now that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Eichler of level $\mathfrak{p}^{\delta} = \mathfrak{p}$. Keep V as defined above. Let $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1(V)$ denote the set 1-lattices of $\mathcal{L}$, i.e. the set of pairs of lattices $L = (L(0), L(1))$ with $L(0), L(1) \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $L(1) \subset L(0)$ is a sublattice for which $L(0)/L(1) \approx \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. The group $B^{\times}_{\mathfrak{p}} \approx \mathrm{GL}(V)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{L}_1$, and so we can fix a 1-lattice $L_0 = (L_0(0), L_0(1))$ whose stabilizer under this action is given by $R^{\times}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Fixing such a 1-lattice, we may also fix a bijection

$$B^{\times}_{\mathfrak{p}}/R^{\times}_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_1, \ b \longmapsto bL_0. \tag{13}$$

We can associate to any $L = (L(0)), L(1)) \in \mathcal{L}_1$ a pair of integers $l_{\mathfrak{p},0} = l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L(0))$ and $l_{\mathfrak{p},1} = l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L(1))$, whence we define $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)$ to be the maximum,

$$l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) = \max(l_{\mathfrak{p},0}(L), l_{\mathfrak{p},1}(L)) = \max(l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L(0)), l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L(1))).$$

This definition leads to the following possible orientations for a given 1-lattice L.

Definition Let $L = (L(0), L(1))$ be a 1-lattice in the set $\mathcal{L}_1$.

(i) We say that L is of *type I* if $l_{\mathfrak{p},0} < l_{\mathfrak{p},1}$ (whence $l_{\mathfrak{p},1} = l_{\mathfrak{p},0} + 1$), in which case the *leading vertex* of L is defined to be $L(1)$. If $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) \geq 2$, then we also define the *predecessor* of L to be the 1-lattice in $\mathcal{L}_1$ defined by

$$\mathrm{pr}(L) = (L(0), \mathrm{pr}_l(L(0))) = (L(0), \mathfrak{p}Z_{l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)-2}L(0)).$$

(ii) We say that L is of *type II* if $l_{\mathfrak{p},0} > l_{\mathfrak{p},1}$ (whence $l_{\mathfrak{p},0} = l_{\mathfrak{p},1} + 1$), in which case the *leading vertex* of L is defined to be $L(0)$. If $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) \geq 2$, then we also define the *predecessor* of L to be the 1-lattice in $\mathcal{L}_1$ defined by

$$\mathrm{pr}(L) = (\mathrm{pr}_u(L(1)), L(1)) = (Z_{l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)-2}L(1), L(1)).$$

(iii) We say that L is of *type III* if $l_{\mathfrak{p},0} = l_{\mathfrak{p},1}$ (whence $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) = 0$, $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \{0,1\}$, and $L(1) = \mathfrak{P}L(0)$ or $L(1) = \mathfrak{P}^* L(0)$). In this case, as a convention, we define the *leading vertex* of L to be $L(0)$.

Remark The type of a 1-lattice $L = (L(0), L(1))$ in $\mathcal{L}_1$ is invariant under the action of $\gamma \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$. More precisely, L and $\gamma L = \gamma \star L$ have the same type, and moreover $\mathrm{pr}(\gamma L) = \gamma \mathrm{pr}(L) = \gamma \star \mathrm{pr}(L)$ if $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) \geq 2$.

Let $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{L}_1]$ denote the free abelian group generated by $\mathcal{L}_1$.

Definition (i) The *lower Hecke operator* $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^l$ on $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{L}_1]$ is the rule that sends a 1-lattice $L = (L(0), L(1))$ to the sum of all 1-lattices $L' = (L'(0), L'(1))$ for which $L'(0) = L(0)$ but $L'(1) \neq L(1)$. (ii) The *upper Hecke operator* $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^u$ on $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{L}_1]$ is the rule that sends a 1-lattice $L = (L(0), L(1))$ to the sum of all 1-lattices $L' = (L'(0), L'(1))$ for which $L'(1) = L(1)$ but $L'(0) \neq L(0)$.

Remark These Hecke operators correspond to the following double coset operators, as explained in [5, Remark 6.10]. Suppose that we write the Eichler order $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as the intersection $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = R(0) \cap R(1)$, where for $i \in \{0,1\}$, we define

$$R(i) = \{b \in B_{\mathfrak{p}} : bL_0(i) \subset L_0(i)\}.$$

Then, the lower Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^l$ corresponds to the double coset operator $[R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \alpha R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}]$ for any element $\alpha \in R(0)^{\times} - R(1)^{\times}$, and the upper Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^u$ corresponds to the double coset operator $[R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \beta R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}]$ for any element $\beta \in R(1)^{\times} - R(0)^{\times}$. Also, we have the decompositions $R(0)^{\times} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \coprod R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \beta R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ and $R(1)^{\times} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \coprod R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \alpha R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$

Definition The $\mathfrak{p}$-*new quotient* $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{L}_1]^{\mathfrak{p}-\mathrm{new}}$ of $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{L}_1]$ is the quotient of $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{L}_1]$ by the $\mathbf{Z}$-submodule spanned by elements of the form

$$\sum_{\substack{L'=(L'(0),L'(1)) \\ L'(0)=M}} L', \qquad \sum_{\substack{L'=(L'(0),L'(1)) \\ L'(1)=M}} L',$$

where M is some lattice in $\mathcal{L}$. Observe that by construction, we have the congruences

$$T_{\mathfrak{p}}^l \equiv T_{\mathfrak{p}}^u \equiv -1 \ \text{ on } \mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{L}_1]^{\mathfrak{p}-\mathrm{new}}.$$

One can again use Lemma 3.4 to relate the trace operator

$$\mathrm{Tr}(L) = \sum_{\gamma \in Z_{l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)-1}^{\times} / Z_{l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)}^{\times}} \gamma \star L = \sum_{\gamma \in Z_{l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)-1}^{\times} / Z_{l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)}^{\times}} [\gamma b] L_0$$

in $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{L}_1]$ to the operators $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^l(L)$ and $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^u(L)$ to obtain the following result. Here, we extend the notion of types to classes of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ in the natural way via (13).

Lemma 3.6. *Let x be a class in $B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ with $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \geq 2$. Then, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(x) = \begin{cases} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^l(\mathrm{pr}(x)) & \text{if } x \text{ is of type I} \\ T_{\mathfrak{p}}^u(\mathrm{pr}(x)) & \text{if } x \text{ is of type II.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, in the $\mathfrak{p}$-new quotient of $\mathbf{Z}[B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}]$ corresponding to $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{L}_1]^{\mathfrak{p}-\mathrm{new}}$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(x) = -\mathrm{pr}(x).$$

Proof. See [5, Lemma 6.11]. □

Remark The constructions and results above do depend on the choice of base 1-lattice $L_0 = (L_0(0), L_0(1))$. In particular, the definition of type depends upon the orientation of the underlying Eichler order $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = R(0) \cap R(1)$.

4. Construction of p-adic interpolation series

We now construct p-adic interpolation series on the profinite group X for the values $L^{(k)}(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$, i.e. where Ω is a character factoring through X. We divide into cases k on the generic root number $\epsilon = \epsilon(1/2, \pi \times \Omega) \in \{\pm 1\}$. Here, we shall also write $\star$ to denote the natural action of $\widehat{K}^\times$ by left multiplication on the set $K^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times / \widehat{R}^\times$ induced by the choice of embedding $K \subseteq B$, i.e. where B denotes the quaternion algebra associated to the Jacquet-Langlands tansfer π' of π.

Remark Our constructions below works more generally whenever the vector in known $\varphi \in \pi'$ to take values in a discrete valuation ring and each prime dividing the level structure is known to split in K.

4.1. **The case of $k = 0$.** Let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ having trivial central character. Fix a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$ with underlying rational prime p, and an embedding $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$. We assume Hypotheses 1.2 and 1.3.

Lemma 4.1. *Let L be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ containing the eigenvalues of π. Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_L$ denote its ring of integers. If the quaternion algebra B is totally definite, then we can and do choose $\varphi \in \pi'$ in such a way that φ takes values in the ring $\mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. By Hypothesis 1.3, the eigenvalues of $\pi = \mathrm{JL}(\pi')$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ are algebraic. Hence, $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ is a number field, and there exists a finite extension L of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ containing the eigenvalues of π. Any vector $\varphi \in \pi'$ has the same eigenvalues as π by Jacquet-Langlands, and is determined uniquely up to multiplication by nonzero complex scalar by this condition. Moreover, we can view any $\varphi \in \pi'$ as an automorphic function on the finite set $C(B; H) = B^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times / H$, for $H \subset \widehat{B}^\times$ some compact open subgroup (with $H_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$). Fixing a basis for the space of functions $C(B; H) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we then can choose $\varphi \in \pi'$ to take values in $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$, or even $\mathbf{Z}(\pi)$. □

We now give the main construction of p-adic L-functions for the $k = 0$ setting.

4.1.1. *The case of $\delta = 0$.* Let us first consider the case where $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Eichler of level $\mathfrak{p}^\delta = 1$, i.e. that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is maximal. Recall that we let V be a simple left $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module for which $V \approx F_{\mathfrak{p}}^2$ as an $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$-vector space. Recall as well that we write $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(V)$ to denote the set of $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$-lattices in V, and that we fix a lattice $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ in such a way that $\{\alpha \in B_{\mathfrak{p}} : \alpha L_0 \subset L_0\} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus we may fix a bijection

$$B_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times / R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{L},\ x \longmapsto x L_0. \tag{14}$$

Using this bijection, we now fix the following sequence of local classes $x_n \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times / R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$.

Definition Fix a $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis e of V. For each integer $n \geq 0$, let $L_n = L_n(e)$ denote the lattice in $\mathcal{L}$ defined by $L_n = Z_n e$. We then define $x_n = x_n(e)$ to be the class in $B_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times / R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ corresponding to L_n under the fixed bijection (14).

Lemma 4.2. *The sequence $x = x(e)$ of classes $(x_n)_{n \geq 0} = (x_n(e))_{n \geq 0}$ in $B_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times / R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ of Definition 4.1.1 satisfies the following properties for each integer $n \geq 0$.*

(i) $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_n) = n$.
(ii) $x_n = \mathrm{pr}_u(x_{n+1})$.

Proof. Property (i) is a direct consequence of the definition of $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_n) = l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L_n)$. Property (ii) is then a direct consequence of the definition of upper predecessor $\mathrm{pr}_u(x_n)$, i.e. as $\mathrm{pr}_u(x_{n+1}) = \mathrm{pr}_u(L_{n+1}) = Z_n L_{n+1} = Z_n Z_{n+1} e = Z_n e = L_n$. □

Remark Given such a sequence of local classes $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in $B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$, we shall also write each x_n to denote its corresponding adele in $\widehat{B}^{\times}/\widehat{R}^{\times}$.

Let us now fix a sequence of classes $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ as in Definition 4.1.1 above. Observe that Corollary 3.5 above implies that for each integer $n \geq 0$, we have the relation

$$\mathrm{Tr}(x_{n+1}) = T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}(x_n) - x_n^*$$

in $\mathbf{Z}[B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}]$, where for $\varpi_{\mathfrak{P}}$ a fixed uniformizer at $\mathfrak{P}$,

$$x_n^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P} \text{ is inert} \\ \varpi_{\mathfrak{P}} x_n & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P}^2 \text{ is ramified} \\ (\varpi_{\mathfrak{P}} + \varpi_{\mathfrak{P}^*}) x_n & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}^* \text{ is split} \\ \mathrm{pr}_l(x_n) & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that $\pi = \mathrm{JL}(\pi')$ has trivial central character, and let $\varphi \in \pi'$ be any decomposable vector whose component at $\mathfrak{p}$ is fixed by $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$. Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the sequence of classes of Lemma 4.1.1. Then, for each integer $n \geq 1$,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\varphi(x_{n+1})\right) := \sum_{\gamma \in Z_n^{\times}/Z_{n+1}^{\times}} \varphi(\gamma \star x_{n+1}) = T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(x_{n-1}).$$

Proof. Extending by linearity, we obtain from Corollary 3.4 the relation

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\varphi(x_{n+1})\right) := \sum_{\gamma \in Z_n^{\times}/Z_{n+1}^{\times}} \varphi(\gamma \star x_{n+1}) = T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\mathrm{pr}_l(x_n))$$

for each integer $n \geq 1$. Here, we have viewed each local class $x_n \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ as its corresponding adele in $\widehat{B}^{\times}/\widehat{R}^{\times}$. We have also used the fact that the operators Tr and $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}$ affect only the component at $\mathfrak{p}$. Now, recall that we write $L_n = x_n L_0$ to denote the lattice in $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to x_n, whence the lower predecessor $\mathrm{pr}_l(x_n)$ is by definition the class corresponding under (14) to the lattice $\mathrm{pr}_l(L_n) = \mathfrak{p} Z_{n-1} L_n$. Observe that $\mathrm{pr}_l(L_n) = \mathfrak{p}\mathrm{pr}_u(L_n)$, whence we argue that $\varphi(\mathrm{pr}_l(x_n)) = \varphi(\mathrm{pr}_u(x_n))$ as a consequence of the fact that φ has trivial central character. The desired relation thens follow from the property $\mathrm{pr}_u(x_n) = x_{n-1}$. □

Definition Assume that $\pi = \mathrm{JL}(\pi')$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-ordinary, with trivial central character. Let $\varphi \in \pi'$ be a decomposable vector whose local component at $\mathfrak{p}$ is fixed by $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$, which we can and do normalize to take values in the ring $\mathcal{O}$. Writing $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi)$ to denote the $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}$-eigenvalue of π, and $q_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the cardinality of the residue field at $\mathfrak{p}$, let $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi)$ denote the p-adic unit root of the Hecke polynomial $t^2 - a_{\mathfrak{p}} t + q_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We then define the system $\{\vartheta_n\}_{n\geq 1} = \{\vartheta_n(x_n, \varphi)\}_{n\geq 1}$ to be the sequence of mappings $\vartheta_n : X_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ given by the rule

$$\vartheta_n : X_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O},\ A \longmapsto \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1-n} \cdot \varphi(A \star x_n) - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n} \cdot \varphi(A \star x_{n-1}).$$

Let us now make a brief digression to ensure that the distributions we construct are well-defined. Recall that in our setup we fix a maximal order $R \subset B$ and a function φ on the finite set $C(\widehat{R}^{\times}) = B^{\times} \backslash \widehat{B}^{\times} / \widehat{R}^{\times}$. Recall as well that we fix an embedding $K \to B$, a priori without any special conditions. Observe that this choice

of embedding induces a natural map from the set $Y(\widehat{R}^\times) = K^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times / \widehat{R}^\times$ to $C(\widehat{R}^\times)$, and moreover that we may view φ as a function on $Y(\widehat{R}^\times)$ after composition with this natural map $Y(\widehat{R}^\times) \to C(\widehat{R}^\times)$. This setup gives rise to a natural definition of *conductor* of a class or "point" $x = [b]$ in the set $Y(\widehat{R}^\times)$. To be more precise, the idele class group $\widehat{K}^\times / K^\times$ acts by left multiplication on the set $Y(\widehat{R}^\times)$. The stabilizer of a point $x = K^\times b R^\times$ in $\widehat{K}^\times$ is given by $K^\times \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{c}(x)}^\times$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{c}(x)}$ denotes the profinite completion of the order $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}(x)} = K \cap R(b)$ of K. Here, $R(b)$ is the Eichler order of B defined be $R(b) = d\widehat{R}b^{-1} \cap B$. Let us write $Y_{\mathfrak{c}}(\widehat{R}^\times)$ to denote the set of points x in $Y(\widehat{R}^\times)$ of conductor $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}(x)$, with $Y'_{\mathfrak{c}}(\widehat{R}^\times) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{c}' | \mathfrak{c}} Y_{\mathfrak{c}'}(\widehat{R}^\times)$. These subsets of $Y(\widehat{R}^\times)$ are all stable under the action of $\widehat{K}^\times$, and this action factors through $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}})$. It is easy to deduce from the discussion above that the points $x_n = [b_n]$ of our chosen sequence in Definition 4.1.1 each have conductor $\mathfrak{c}(x_n)$ equal to $\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{p}^n$, where $\mathfrak{c}$ is determined uniquely by the prime-to-$\mathfrak{p}$-part of the conductor of the order $R \cap K$ of K. This implies that the values $\varphi(A \star x_n)$ and $\varphi(A \star x_{n-1})$ are only well-defined if $A \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{p}^n})$. To proceed, we could either keep track of the $\mathfrak{c}$ explicitly throughout (at the expense of simplicity), or else we choose a specific embedding $K \to B$ to ensure that $\mathfrak{c} = 1$. Let us for simplicity choose the latter option for the rest of this work, which we record as follows.

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose (as we can) that we fix an embedding $K \to B$ for which the order $K \cap B$ has $\mathfrak{p}$-power conductor, in fact that $K \cap B = \mathcal{O}_K$. Then, each point $x_n = [b_n]$ has conductor $\mathfrak{c}(x_n) = \mathfrak{p}^n$.*

Proof. That such an embedding exists is known; the local criteria are described in [28, Ch. II, §3]. In brief, such an embedding exists in our setting if each prime dividing the level $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{p}^\delta \mathfrak{N}^+$ is split in K, which is the case by Hypothesis 1.3. □

Proposition 4.5. *The sequence of mappings $\vartheta_n : X_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ of Definition 4.1.1 above forms a distribution on the profinite group $X = \varprojlim_n X_n$.*

Proof. It will suffice to show that for each sufficiently large integer $n \geq 1$ and each class $A \in X_n$, the distribution relation

$$\vartheta_n(A) = \sum_{\substack{B \in X_{n+1} \\ \pi_{n+1,n}(B) = A}} \vartheta_{n+1}(B)$$

holds, where $\pi_{n+1,n} : X_{n+1} \longrightarrow X_n$ denotes the natural surjective homomorphism. Equivalently, it will suffice under the same conditions to show the relation

$$\vartheta_n(A) = \sum_{C \in \ker(\pi_{n+1,n})} \vartheta_{n+1}(CA),$$

where A on the right hand side denotes any lift of A to X_{n+1}. Now, by definitions,

$$\ker(\pi_{n+1,n}) = \mathcal{O}^\times_{\mathfrak{p}^n,\mathfrak{p}} / \mathcal{O}^\times_{\mathfrak{p}^n} \mathcal{O}^\times_{\mathfrak{p}^{n+1},\mathfrak{p}}.$$

If n is sufficiently large, then $\mathcal{O}^\times_{\mathfrak{p}^n} = \mathcal{O}^\times_F$ is contained in the local unit group $\mathcal{O}^\times_{\mathfrak{p}^{n+1},\mathfrak{p}}$ (cf. the discussion in the proof of of [5, Lemma 2.8]). We thus obtain for n sufficiently large that $\ker(\pi_{n+1,n}) = \mathcal{O}^\times_{\mathfrak{p}^n,\mathfrak{p}} / \mathcal{O}^\times_{\mathfrak{p}^{n+1},\mathfrak{p}} = Z_n^\times / Z_{n+1}^\times$, whence it will suffice to show

$$\vartheta_n(A) = \sum_{\gamma \in Z_n^\times / Z_{n+1}^\times} \vartheta_{n+1}(\gamma A). \tag{15}$$

To show this relation holds for n sufficiently large, we evaluate the right hand side of (15). More precisely, for n sufficiently large, we have by definition of ϑ_{n+1} that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\gamma\in Z_n^\times/Z_{n+1}^\times}\vartheta_{n+1}(\gamma A) &= \sum_{\gamma\in Z_n^\times/Z_{n+1}^\times}\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n}\varphi(\gamma A\star x_{n+1})-\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-(n+1)}\varphi(\gamma A\star x_n)\\ &= \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n}\sum_{\gamma\in Z_n^\times/Z_{n+1}^\times}\varphi(\gamma A\star x_{n+1})-q_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-(n+1)}\varphi(A\star x_n).\end{aligned}$$

Extending by linearity, the result of Corollary 3.5 allows us to identify the right hand side of the last expression with the sum

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n}\left(T_{\mathfrak{p}}^l\varphi(A\star x_n)-\varphi(A\star x_{n-1})\right)-q_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-(n+1)}\varphi(A\star x_n).$$

Here, we have used implicitly the easy-to-check fact that the map $L\mapsto \mathrm{pr}_u(L)$ commutes with the action of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ (cf. [5, Lemma 6.5]). Thus rearranging terms, we have shown (for $n\geq 1$) that

$$\sum_{\gamma\in Z_n^\times/Z_{n+1}^\times}\vartheta_{n+1}(\gamma A)=(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n}a_{\mathfrak{p}}-q_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-(n+1)})\varphi(A\star x_n)-\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n}\varphi(A\star x_{n-1}).$$

Observe now that we have the elementary identity

$$(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n}a_{\mathfrak{p}}-q_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-(n+1)})=\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1-n},$$

i.e. using the constraints imposed by the factorization

$$X^2-a_{\mathfrak{p}}X+q_{\mathfrak{p}}=(X-\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}})(X-\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Thus for n sufficiently large, we have shown the required relation

$$\sum_{\gamma\in Z_n^\times/Z_{n+1}^\times}\varphi_{n+1}(\gamma A)=\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1-n}\varphi(A\star x_n)-\alpha^{-n}\varphi(A\star x_{n-1})=\vartheta_n(A).$$

□

Corollary 4.6. *The sequence of mappings $\vartheta_n : X_n\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}$ of Definition 4.1.1 defines a measure on the profinite group $X=\varprojlim_n X_n$.*

Proof. The result is a direct consequence of the fact that we can and do choose the $\mathfrak{p}$-ordinary eigenvector φ to take values in the ring $\mathcal{O}$. □

4.1.2. *The case of $\delta=1$.* Let us now suppose that the local order $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Eichler of level $\mathfrak{p}^\delta=\mathfrak{p}$. Recall that we write $\mathcal{L}_1=\mathcal{L}_1(V)$ to denote the set of 1-lattices of $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(V)$. Let us fix a 1-lattice $L_0=(L_0(0),L_0(1))$ whose stabilizer under the transitive action of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ is equal to $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$. We then fix a bijection

$$B_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times\longrightarrow\mathcal{L}_1,\ x\longmapsto xL_0. \tag{16}$$

Using this bijection, we now fix a sequence of classes $x_n\in B_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ as follows.

Definition Fix a $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis e of V. For each integer $n\geq 0$, let us write $L_n=L_n(e)$ to denote the lattice in $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(V)$ defined by $L_n=\mathfrak{p}^{-\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}Z_ne$, where

$$\lfloor x\rfloor=\max\{m\in\mathbf{Z}:m\leq x\}$$

is the standard floor function. For each $n \geq 1$, let us write $M_n = M_n(e)$ to denote the 1-lattice in $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1(V)$ defined by

$$M_n = \begin{cases} (L_{n-1}, L_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 2 \\ (L_n, L_{n-1}) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 2 \end{cases}$$

Let $x_n = x_n(e)$ be the class in $B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ corresponding to M_n under (16).

Lemma 4.7. *The sequence $x = x(e)$ of classes $(x_n)_{n\geq 1} = (x_n(e))_{n\geq 1}$ in $B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ of Definition 4.1.2 above satisfies the following properties for each integer $n \geq 1$.*

(i) $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_n) = n$.
(ii) $\mathrm{pr}(x_{n+1}) = x_n$.

Proof. Property (i) is a direct consequence of the definition of $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_n)$, i.e. as we have $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_n) = l_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_n) = \max(l_{\mathfrak{p},0}(M_n), l_{\mathfrak{p},1}(M_n)) = n$. Property (ii) is then seen by a direct calculation. To be more precise, since M_n is of type I if n is odd and of type II if n is even, we have by definition that

$$\mathrm{pr}(x_{n+1}) = \mathrm{pr}(M_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} (L_n, \mathrm{pr}_l(L_n)) = (L_n, \mathfrak{p}Z_{n-1}L_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 2 \\ (\mathrm{pr}_u(L_n), L_n) = (Z_{n-1}L_n, L_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 2. \end{cases}$$

Suppose first that the index n is even. We then compute the lower predecessor $\mathrm{pr}_l(L_n) = \mathfrak{p}Z_{n-1}L_n = \mathfrak{p}^{1-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} Z_{n-1}e = \mathfrak{p}^{-\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} Z_{n-1}e = L_{n-1}$ to verify the relation $\mathrm{pr}(M_{n+1}) = M_n$, as required. Suppose now that the index n is odd. We then compute the lower predecessor $\mathrm{pr}_u(L_n) = Z_{n-1}L_n = \mathfrak{p}^{-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} Z_{n-1}e = \mathfrak{p}^{-\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} Z_{n-1}e = L_{n-1}$ to verify the relation $\mathrm{pr}(M_{n+1}) = M_n$, as required. □

Fix a sequence of classes $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ as in Definition 4.1.2. We obtain from Lemma 3.6 above the following result.

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\varphi \in \pi'$ be a decomposable vector whose local component at $\mathfrak{p}$ is fixed by $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$, which we can and do normalize to take values in $\mathcal{O}$. Then, for each integer $n \geq 1$,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\varphi(x_{n+1})\right) := \sum_{\gamma \in Z_n^{\times}/Z_{n+1}^{\times}} \varphi(\gamma \star x_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}\varphi(x_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 2 \\ T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{u}\varphi(x_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Given the sequence of classes $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of Definition 4.1.2, along with the properties of Lemma 4.7, Lemma 3.6 implies that for each $n \geq 1$, the relation

$$\mathrm{Tr}(x_{n+1}) = \sum_{\gamma \in Z_n^{\times}/Z_{n+1}^{\times}} \gamma \star x_{n+1} = \begin{cases} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}(x_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 2 \\ T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{u}(x_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 2 \end{cases}$$

holds in $\mathbf{Z}[B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}]$. Viewing each class x_n as its corresponding adele in $\widehat{B}^{\times}/\widehat{R}^{\times}$, and extending by linearity, we obtain for each $n \geq 1$ the relation

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\varphi(x_{n+1})\right) = \sum_{\gamma \in Z_n^{\times}/Z_{n+1}^{\times}} \varphi(\gamma \star x_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}\varphi(x_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 2 \\ T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{u}\varphi(x_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 2. \end{cases}$$

Here, we have used the fact that each of the operators Tr, $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}$, and $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{u}$ affects only the components at $\mathfrak{p}$. The result follows. □

Definition Let $\varphi \in \pi'$ be a decomposable vector whose local component at $\mathfrak{p}$ is fixed by $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ and which we normalize to take values in $\mathcal{O}$. Assume that φ is a $\mathfrak{p}$-ordinary eigenform for both $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{u}$ and $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}$ with common (unit) eigenvalue $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Fix a sequence of classes $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ as for Definition 4.1.1 above. Let $\{\vartheta_n\}_{n\geq 1} = \{\vartheta_n(\Phi, x_n)\}_{n\geq 1}$ be the sequence of mappings $\vartheta_n : X_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ defined by

$$\vartheta_n : X_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O},\ A \longmapsto \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n} \cdot \varphi(A \star x_n).$$

Proposition 4.9. *The sequence of mappings $\vartheta_n : X_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ of Definition 4.1.2 defines a distribution on the profinite group $X = \varprojlim_n X_n$.*

Proof. As explained in the proof of Proposition 4.5 above, it will suffice to show for each sufficiently large integer n that

$$\vartheta_n(A) = \sum_{\gamma \in Z_n^{\times}/Z_{n+1}^{\times}} \vartheta_{n+1}(\gamma A).$$

Thus, for the mappings $\{\vartheta_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ of Definition 4.1.2, it will suffice to show for each sufficiently large integer n that

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n} \cdot \varphi(A \star x_n) = \sum_{\gamma \in Z_n^{\times}/Z_{n+1}^{\times}} \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-(n+1)} \cdot \varphi(\gamma A \star x_{n+1}),$$

which after multiplying out by $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n+1}$ is the same as

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \varphi(A \star x_n) = \sum_{\gamma \in Z_n^{\times}/Z_{n+1}^{\times}} \varphi(\gamma A \star x_{n+1}).$$

Now, by our hypotheses on φ, we have that $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \varphi(A \star x_n) = T_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi(A \star x_n)$ for $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denoting either operator $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l}$ or $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{u}$, and so the required relation is a direct consequence of Corollary 4.8 above. □

Corollary 4.10. *The sequence of mappings $\varphi_n : X_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ of Definition 4.1.2 defines a measure on the profinite group $X = \varprojlim_n X_n$.*

Proof. The result again is a direct consequence of the fact that we can and do choose the $\mathfrak{p}$-ordinary eigenvector φ to take values in the ring $\mathcal{O}$. □

4.1.3. *Definitions of p-adic L-functions.* Recall that in either case on the exponent $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ in the level $\mathfrak{p}^{\delta}$ of $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset B_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we construct a sequence $x(e) = (x_n(e))_{n\geq\delta}$ of classes $x_n \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ according to Definitions 4.1.1 and 4.1.2. These sequences depend on the choice of a fixed $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis e of the $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module V in the following way.

Lemma 4.11. *Suppose in either subcase on $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ that we choose a different $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis e' of V. Then, for some element $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_n$ of $X = \varprojlim_n X_n$, we have*

$$d\vartheta^{(\delta)}(\varphi, x(e')) = d\vartheta^{(\delta)}(\varphi, \sigma \star x(e)) = (\vartheta_n^{(\delta)}(\varphi, \sigma_n \star x_n(e)))_{n\geq 1},$$

equivalently

$$\theta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(x(e')) = \sigma\theta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(x(e)) = (\theta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(\sigma_n \star x_n(e)))\ \textit{in}\ \mathcal{O}[[X]].$$

Proof. Observe that $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ acts simply transitively on the set of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-bases of V. It follows that there exists a $\gamma \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ for which $e' = \gamma e = \gamma \star e$. We claim that this action commutes with each of the predecessor operations pr_* defined above, i.e. that $\mathrm{pr}_*(\gamma \star x) = \gamma \star \mathrm{pr}_*(x)$ for each $\gamma \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ and $x \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$, as a direct consequence of the definitions. It is then easy to see that for each integer $n \geq 1$, there exists an

element $\sigma_n \in X_n$ for which $\vartheta_n^{(\delta)}(\varphi, x_n(e')) = \vartheta_n^{(\delta)}(\varphi, \sigma_n \star x_n(e))$. To be more precise, we claim that this σ_n is determined by the image of γ in X_n. Since the σ_n come from one element $\gamma \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$, it is clear that $(\sigma_n)_n$ defines a compatible sequence, whence $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_n$ defines an element of the profinite limit $X = \varprojlim_n X_n$. □

Thus, our elements $\theta_{\Phi}^{(\delta)}(e)$ are only well-defined up to multiplication by $\sigma \in X$. To correct this, we make the following modification. Let $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}[[X]]$. Given $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let λ^* denote the image of λ under the involution of Λ induced by the operation sending group elements $\sigma \in X$ to their inverses $\sigma^{-1} \in X$. The elements $\theta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(e)\theta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(e)^* \in \Lambda$ are then well-defined. This leads us to make the following

Definition Let $\varphi \in \pi'$ be a decomposable, $\mathcal{O}$-valued, $\mathfrak{p}$-ordinary eigenvector as described above, i.e. so that the component of φ at $\mathfrak{p}$ is fixed by $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$, where $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an Eichler order of level $\mathfrak{p}^{\delta}$ with $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$. Let e be any $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis of V, and $x(e) = (x_n(e))_{n \geq \delta}$ the sequence of classes described either by Definition 4.1.1 if $\delta = 0$ or else by Definition 4.1.2 if $\delta = 1$. We then define the *imprimitive p-adic L-function* associated to φ and the profinite group X to be the product

$$L_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi, K) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K) = \theta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(x(e))\theta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(x(e))^* \text{ in } \Lambda = \mathcal{O}[[X]].$$

4.1.4. *Interpolation.* We now determine the interpolation properties of the imprimitive p-adic L-functions $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi, K) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K) \in \Lambda = \mathcal{O}[[X]]$. This leads us to choose a certain normalization, i.e. to define primitive p-adic L-functions $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\pi', K)$ which do not depend on our choice of vector $\varphi \in \pi'$. Let Ω be a character of X. We view such a character as a homomorphism $\Omega : X \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ via our fixed embedding $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$, and moreover (enlarging $\mathcal{O}$ if necessary) as a homomorphism $\Omega : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$. We shall use the fact that any character extends to an algebra homomorphism $\Omega : \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ via the specialization map $\lambda \mapsto \rho(\lambda) = \int_X \Omega(\sigma) d\lambda(\sigma)$.

Lemma 4.12. *We have that $\Omega(\lambda^*) = \Omega^{-1}(\lambda)$ for any element $\lambda \in X$.*

Proof. Since $\Omega : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a group homomorphism, $\Omega(\sigma^{-1}) = \Omega^{-1}(\sigma)$ by the identity $\Omega(\sigma)\Omega(\sigma^{-1}) = \Omega(\sigma)\Omega(\sigma)^{-1} = 1$. Thus by definition of λ^*, we have that

$$\Omega(\lambda^*) = \int_X \Omega(\sigma) d\lambda^*(\sigma) = \int_X \Omega(\sigma^{-1}) d\lambda(\sigma) = \int_X \Omega^{-1}(\sigma) d\lambda(\sigma) = \Omega^{-1}(\lambda).$$

□

Lemma 4.13. *In our constructions above of the measures $d\vartheta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(x)$ giving rise to the elements $\theta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(x)$ of $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$, our choice of sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1} = (x_n \cdot \varphi)_{n \geq 1}$ in either case on δ defines a sequence of test vectors in the sense of* [7, § 1.2.3].

Proof. Fix $n \geq 1$. It suffices to check invariance properties at $\mathfrak{p}$ for each vector $\varphi_n = x_n \cdot \varphi \in \pi'$ for each choice of $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$.

Let us first assume that $\delta = 0$. Recall that we fix a class $x_n \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ which is invariant by the action of $Z_n^{\times}$, where $Z_n = \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} + \varpi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. We deduce that the local vector $x_n \cdot \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is fixed by the action of $R_{\mathfrak{p},n}^{\times}$, where $R_{\mathfrak{p},n} \subset B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the unique (up to $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$-conjugacy) order for which $c(R_{\mathfrak{p},n}) = c(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) = n$ and $d(R_{\mathfrak{p},n}) = c(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \delta = 0$, whence the required local invariance follows from the work of Gross-Prasad [10], cf. [7, §1.2.3] or the summary given above.

Let us assume now that $\delta = 1$. Recall that we fix a class $x_n \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ which corresponds under our fixed bijection (16) to a 1-lattice $(L(0), L(1))$ we have by

Lemma 4.7 (i) that $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_n) = \max(l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L(0)), l_{\mathfrak{p}}(L(1))) = n$, equivalently that $L(0)$ say is fixed by $Z_{n-1}^{\times}$ and $L(1)$ by $Z_n^{\times}$ (as we can assume without loss of generality). We then deduce that the local vector $x_n \cdot \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is fixed by the action of $R_{\mathfrak{p},n}^{\times}$, where $R_{\mathfrak{p},n}$ is the unique order of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ up to $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$-conjugacy which can be expressed as the intersection of maximal order $R_{\mathfrak{p},n} = R_{1,\mathfrak{p},n} \cap R_{2,\mathfrak{p},n}$, where $c(R_{1,\mathfrak{p},n}) = c(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) = n$ and $c(R_{2,\mathfrak{p},n}) = c(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \delta = n - 1$. The required local invariance is then deduced from [7], again cf. [7, §1.2.3] or the summary above. □

Equipped with this result, we now give the following main interpolation formula.

Proposition 4.14. *Let Ω be a primitive character of X of conductor $\mathfrak{p}^n$ with $n \geq 1$. Then, for each choice $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, we have the following interpolation formula for the associated imprimitive p-adic L-function $L_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K)$,*

$$\Omega(L_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K)) = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{2(\delta-1-n)} \cdot (\varphi, \varphi) \cdot \mathcal{L}(1/2, \pi \times \Omega). \tag{17}$$

Here, $\mathcal{L}(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$ denotes the algebraic L-value of Corollary 2.2 above, which we view as an element of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ via our fixed embedding $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$.

Proof. Lemma 4.12 implies that for either choice of $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, we have

$$\Omega(L_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K)) = \Omega(\theta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(x))\Omega(\theta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(x)^{*}) = \Omega(\theta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(x))\Omega^{-1}(\theta_{\varphi}^{(\delta)}(x)).$$

Let us first assume that $\delta = 1$, whence we are reduced to evaluating the sums

$$\Omega(\theta_{\varphi}^{(1)}(x)) = \int_X \Omega(\sigma)\theta_{\varphi}^{(1)}(x)(\sigma) = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n} \sum_{A \in X_n} \Omega(A)\varphi(A \star x_n).$$

Here, the second equality follows by the definition of $\vartheta_n = \vartheta_n(\varphi, x_n)$ of $d\vartheta(\varphi, x)$. Since we know by Lemma 4.13 that the vectors defined by $\varphi_n = x_n \cdot \varphi$ are test vectors in the sense of [7, §7.1], it is easy to see that

$$\Omega(\theta_{\varphi}^{(1)}(x)) = P_{\Omega}^{B}(\varphi),$$

whence the result follows from Theorem 1.1.

Let us now assume that $\delta = 0$, whence we are reduced to evaluating the sums

$$\Omega(\theta_{\varphi}^{(0)}(x)) = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1-n} \sum_{A \in X_n} \Omega(A)\varphi(A \star x_n) - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n} \sum_{A \in X_n} \Omega(A)\varphi(A \star x_{n-1}).$$

Since the vectors $\varphi_n = x_n \cdot \varphi$ are again test vectors in the sense of [7, §7.1] by Lemma 4.13 above, we see that the second sum in this term must vanish, i.e. since the conductor of the test vector $\varphi_{n-1} = x_{n-1} \cdot \varphi$ appearing in this term does not have the same conductor as the character Ω. Indeed, observe that given any $u \in \mathbf{A}_K^{\times}$, which we embed into the finite set $B^{\times} \backslash \widehat{B}^{\times} / \widehat{R}^{\times}$ via our choice of (optimal) embedding $K \to D$, we must have that $P_{\Omega}(u \cdot \varphi) = \Omega^{-1}(u) P_{\Omega}(\varphi)$, whence

$$\Omega(\theta_{\varphi}^{(0)}(x)) = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1-n} \sum_{A \in X_n} \Omega(A)\varphi(A \star x_n),$$

which reduces us to the proof given for the $\delta = 1$ case above. □

Although it is clear, let us state for the record the following direct consequence.

Corollary 4.15. *The specialization value $\Omega(L_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K))$ vanishes if and only if the complex central value $L(1/2, \pi \times \Omega)$ vanishes when the conductor of Ω is nontrivial.*

It is also clear that dividing out by (φ,φ) gives an interpolation formula which does not depend on the scaling factor of $\varphi \in \pi'$. We record this as follows.

Definition Let us in either case on $\delta \in \{0,1\}$ define the *primitive p-adic L-function* $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi',K) = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}\mathfrak{p}(\pi',K)$ associated to π' and X to be the element of $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}[[X]]$ obtained by dividing out by the inner product (φ,φ), i.e.

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\delta}(\pi',K) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi,K)/(\varphi,\varphi). \tag{18}$$

The following result is then easy to see from the discussion above.

Corollary 4.16. *The element $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\delta}(\pi',K) \in \Lambda = \mathcal{O}[[X]]$ does not depend on the choice of scaling factor of $\varphi \in \pi'$, and satisfies the following interpolation property: For each primitive character Ω of X of conductor $\mathfrak{p}^n$ with $n \geq 1$, we have that $\Omega(\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\delta}(\pi',K)) = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{2(\delta-1-n)} \cdot \mathcal{L}(1/2,\pi\times\Omega)$ in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$.*

4.2. **The case of $k=1$.** We now extend the construction given above to give a p-adic interpolation series for the setting of $k=1$, i.e. where $\epsilon = \epsilon(1/2,\pi\times\Omega)$ is generically equal to -1. To describe this extension, let us keep all of the setup leading to the special value formula of Corollary 2.4 above. Thus, we fix F a totally real number field, with K a totally imaginary quadratic extension of F, and $\mathbb{B}$ a totally definite incoherent quaternion algebra over $\mathbf{A}_F$. Let $M = \{M_U\}_U$ denote the quaternionic Shimura curve over F associated to this $\mathbb{B}$. We fix an abelian variety A over F parametrized by M. Recall that by [35, §3.2.2] we have an an automorphic representation $\pi_A = \mathrm{Hom}^0(J,A) = \varinjlim_H \mathrm{Hom}^0(J_H,A) = \varinjlim_H \mathrm{Hom}_F(J_H,A)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{Q}$ of $\mathbb{B}^\times$ defined over $\mathbf{Q}$, and moreover that this decomposes as a product $\pi_A = \otimes_v \pi_{A,v}$ of absolutely irreducible representations $\pi_{A,v}$ of $\mathbb{B}_v^\times$. Assume from now on that π_A has trivial central character, hence that $\pi_A = \pi_A^\vee$ is self-dual. Thus the abelian variety A is principally polarized, and we can fix an identification $A \approx A^\vee$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of some finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ containing the number field L. Granted Hypotheses 1.2 and 1.3 hold for $\pi = \pi_A$, the construction of the p-adic L-function $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi,K)$ given above for $k=0$ extends formally, as we now explain.

4.2.1. *The case of $\delta = 0$.* Assume that the local order $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Eichler or level $\mathfrak{p}^\delta = 1$, i.e. that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is maximal. Recall again that we fix V a simple left $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module for which $V \approx F_{\mathfrak{p}}^2$ as an $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$-vector space, and that $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is the set of $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$-lattices in V. Fixing $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} : \alpha L_0 \subset L_0\} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we have a bijection

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{L},\ x \longmapsto xL_0. \tag{19}$$

Again, fix a $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis e of V, and for each integer $n \geq 0$, let $L = L_n(e)$ denote the lattice defined by $L_n = Z_n e$. We also write $x_n = x_n(e)$ to denote the class in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ corresponding to L_n under the fixed bijection (19), and commit the same abuse of notation in also writing $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ to denote the corresponding sequence of adele classes in $\mathbb{B}_f^\times/H$ for $H = H^{\mathfrak{p}}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ some compact open subgroup of $\mathbb{B}_f^\times$ whose component at $\mathfrak{p}$ is given by $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$. The arguments of Lemma 4.1.1, Corollary 4.3, and Proposition 4.5 above allow us to deduce the following formal consequence.

Corollary 4.17. *Assume that π_A has trivial central character. Let $\varphi \in \pi_A$ be a nonzero $\mathfrak{p}$-ordinary decomposable vector whose component at $\mathfrak{p}$ is fixed by $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$. Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ denote the sequence of classes in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times/R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ defined above. Writing $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^l$ to denote the lattice Hecke operator defined above, let $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi_A)$ denote the*

eigenvalue of $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^l$ acting on φ, with $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi_A)$ the unit root of the Hecke polynomial $t^2 - a_{\mathfrak{p}}t + q_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then, the system of mapping $\{\phi_n\}_{n\geq 1} = \{\phi_n(x_n, \varphi)\}_{n\geq 1}$ of mappings

$$\phi_n : X_n \longrightarrow A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}},\ A \longmapsto \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1-n} \cdot \varphi(A \star x_n) - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n} \cdot \varphi(A \star x_{n-1}) \tag{20}$$

defines a distribution on the profinite group $X = \varprojlim X_n$.

4.2.2. *The case of $\delta = 1$.* Let us now assume that the order $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Eichler of level $\mathfrak{p}^\delta = \mathfrak{p}$. Recall that in this case, we write $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1(V)$ to denote the set of 1-lattices of $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(V)$. We then fix a 1-lattice $L_0 = (L_0(0), L_0(1))$ whose stabilizer under the transitive action of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ is equal to $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$, which allows us to define a bijection

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times / R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_1,\ x \longmapsto xL_0. \tag{21}$$

Once again, we fix a $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis e of V, and for each integer $n \geq 1$ write $L_n = L_n(e)$ to denote the lattice in $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(V)$ defined by $L_n = \mathfrak{p}^{-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor/2} Z_n e$. For each $n \geq 1$, let us write $J_n = J_n(e)$ to denote the 1-lattice in $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1(V)$ defined by

$$J_n = \begin{cases} (L_{n-1}, L_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 2 \\ (L_n, L_{n-1}) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 2 \end{cases}$$

Let $x_n = x_n(e) \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times / R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ be the class corresponding to J_n under (21), with the same conventions as above for the setting with $k = 0$. We obtain from the arguments of Lemma 4.7, Corollary 4.8, and Proposition 4.9 the following distribution relations.

Corollary 4.18. *Assume that the representation π_A has trivial central character. Let $\varphi \in \pi_A$ be a nonzero decomposable vector whose component at $\mathfrak{p}$ is fixed by $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$. Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ denote the sequence of classes in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times / R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ defined by the 1-lattices J_n above. Suppose that φ is a $\mathfrak{p}$-ordinary eigenvector for both of the operators $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^l$ and $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^u$ with common (unit) eigenvalue $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi_A)$ Then, the system $\{\phi_n\}_{n\geq 1} = \{\phi_n(x_n, \varphi)\}_{n\geq 1}$ of mappings*

$$\phi_n : X_n \longrightarrow A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}},\ A \longmapsto \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n} \cdot \varphi(A \star x_n)_{n\geq 1} \tag{22}$$

defines a distribution on the profinite group $X = \varprojlim X_n$.

4.2.3. *Definition of the interpolation series.* We have now defined in either case on the exponent $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ an $A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}}$-valued distribution

$$d\phi^{(\delta)}(\varphi, x(e)) = \{\phi_n^{(\delta)}(\varphi, x_n(e))\}_{n\geq 1}$$

on X whose corresponding element in $A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}}[[X]] = \varprojlim_n A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}}[X_n]$ we denote by

$$\Phi_\varphi^{(\delta)} = \Phi_\varphi^{(\delta)}(x(e)) = (\Phi_\varphi^{(\delta)}(x_n(e))).$$

These elements depend on the choice of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis e of V in the same way as described for Lemma 4.11. We again account for this ambiguity by considering the elements

$$\Phi_\varphi^{(\delta)}(x(e))\Phi_\varphi^{(\delta)}(x(e))^* \text{ in } A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}}[[X]],$$

where $\Phi_\varphi^{\delta}(x(e))^*$ denotes the image of $\Phi_\varphi^{\delta}(x(e))$ under the involution of $A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}}[[X]]$ induced by sending elements $\sigma \in X$ to their inverses $\sigma^{-1} \in X$.

Definition Let $\varphi \in \pi_A$ be a nonzero decomposable vector satisfying Hypotheses 1.2 and 1.3 above. Let e be any $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis of V. Let $x(e) = (x_n(e))_{n\geq\delta}$ be either the sequence of classes defined above. Let us now define the well-defined elements

$$D_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K) = \Phi_\varphi^{(\delta)}(x(e))\Phi_\varphi^{(\delta)}(x(e))^* \in A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}}[[X]]. \tag{23}$$

4.2.4. *Interpolation.* The elements defined in (23) satisfy the following interpolation property. Recall that we write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the L-linear Néron-Tate pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_L : A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_R A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$$

defined in [35, §1], and moreover that this construction extends in a natural way to define an $\mathcal{O}_L$-linear Néron-Tate height pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_L} : A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_R A(\overline{F})_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}.$$

Proposition 4.19. *Let Ω be a primitive ring class character of X of conductor $\mathfrak{p}^n$ with $n \geq 1$. Then, for each choice of exponent $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, we have the following interpolation formula for the elements $D_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K)$ defined in* (23) *above composed with the $\mathcal{O}_L$-linear Néron-Tate height pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_L$,*

(24)

$$\Omega(\langle\ ,\ \rangle_L \circ D_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)}(\varphi, K)) = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi_A)^{-2(1-\delta-n)} \cdot \frac{\zeta(2) L'(1/2, \pi_A \times \Omega)}{4 L(1, \eta)^2 L(1, \pi_A, \mathrm{ad})} \cdot \alpha(x_n \cdot \varphi, x_n \cdot \varphi).$$

Proof. The proof is formally identical to that of Proposition 4.14 above, using Theorem 2.3 in lieu of Theorem 1.1 for the interpolation values. □

Acknowledgements. I should like to thank Christophe Cornut first and foremost for extremely helpful comments about the main construction. I should also like to thank Masataka Chida, Henri Darmon, Daniel Disegni, Fred Diamond, Toby Gee, Min-Lun Hsieh, Kimball Martin, Udi de Shalit, Xinxi Yuan, Shouwu Zhang, and Wei Zhang for helpful exchanges and comments on this work.

References

[1] M. Bertolini and H. Darmon, *Heegner points on Mumford-Tate curves*, Invent. math. **126** (1996), 413-456.
[2] M. Bertolini and H. Darmon, *Iwasawa's Main Conjecture for elliptic curves over anticyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_p$-extensions*, Ann. of Math. **162** (2005), 1 - 64.
[3] M. Chida and M.-L. Hsieh, *Special values of anticyclotomic L-functions for modular forms*, preprint (2014).
[4] C. Cornut, *Mazur's conjecture on higher Heegner points*, Invent. math. **148** (2002), 495 - 523.
[5] C. Cornut and V. Vatsal, *Nontriviality of Rankin-Selberg L-functions and CM points*, In "L-functions and Galois Representations", Ed. Burns, Buzzard and Nekovář, Cambridge University Press (2007), 121 - 186
[6] C. Cornut and V. Vatsal, *CM Points and Quaternion Algebras*, Doc. Math. **10** (2005), 263-309.
[7] D. File, K. Martin, and A. Pitale, *Test vectors and central L-values for* GL(2), preprint (2013).
[8] B.H. Gross, *Heights and special values of L-series*, in "Number Theory" (Montreal, Quebec, 1985), CMS Conference Proceedings **7**, Providence RI, American Mathematical Society 1987
[9] B. Gross and D. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of L-series*, Invent. math. **84** (1986), 225-320.
[10] B.H. Gross and D. Prasad, *Test vectors for linear forms*, Math. Ann. **291** (1991), 343-355
[11] A. Hamieh, *Special values of anticyclotomic L-functions modulo λ*, preprint (2013).
[12] S. Haran, *p-adic L-functions for modular forms*, Compos. Math. **62** no. 1 (1987), 31-46.
[13] H. Hida, *A p-adic measure attached to the zeta functions associated to two elliptic modular forms I*, Invent. math. **79**, 159-195 (1985).
[14] H. Hida, *On p-adic L-functions of $GL(2) \times GL(2)$ over totally real fields*, Ann. de l'Institut Fourier, **41** no. 2 (1991), 311-391.
[15] P.-C. Hung, *On the non-vanishing mod l of central values with anticyclotomic twists for Hilbert modular forms*, preprint (2014).

[16] H. Jacquet, *Automorphic forms on* GL(2)*, Part II*, Springer LNM, New York, 1972.
[17] H. Jacquet and N. Chen, *Positivity of quadratic basechange L-functions*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **129** (2001), no. 1, 33-40.
[18] H. Jacquet and R. Langlands, *Automorphic forms on* GL(2), Springer LNM **278**, New York, 1970.
[19] D. Loeffler, *P-adic integration on ray class groups and non-ordinary p-adic L-functions*, to appear in "Iwasawa 2012", available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1304.4042`.
[20] K. Martin and D. Whitehouse, *Central L-values and Toric Periods for* GL(2), IMRN **2009** no. 1 (2009), 141-191
[21] B. Mazur and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Arithmetic of Weil curves*, Invent. math. **25** (174), 1-61.
[22] B. Perrin-Riou, *Fonctions L p-adiques associées à une forme modulaire et à un corps quadratique imaginaire*, J. of the London Math. Soc. (2) **38** (1988), 1 - 32.
[23] B. Perrin-Riou, *Théorie d'Iwasawa et hauteurs p-adiques (cas des variétés abéliennes)*, Sém. de Théorie des Nombres, Paris, 1990-1991, Birkh auser, 203 - 220.
[24] R. Pollack and T. Weston, *On anticyclotomic μ-invariants of modular forms,* Compos. Math. **147** (2011) no. 5, 1353-1381.
[25] H. Saito, *On Tunnell's formula for characters of* GL(2), Compos. Math. **85** no. 1 (1993), 99-108.
[26] G. Shimura, *The special values of zeta functions associated with Hilbert modular forms*, Duke Math. J. **45** (1978), 637-679.
[27] J. Tunnell, *Local ϵ-factors and characters of* GL(2), American J. Math. **105** no. 6 (1983), 1277-1307.
[28] M.-F. Vigneras, *Arithmétique des Algèbres des Quaternions*, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics **800** (1980), New York.
[29] J. Van Order, *On the quaternionic p-adic L-functions associated to Hilbert modular eigenforms*, International J. of Number Theory **8**, Number 4 (2012), 1-35.
[30] J. Van Order, *On the dihedral main conjectures of Iwasawa theory for Hilbert modular eigenforms*, Canadian J. Math. (2013), 403-466.
[31] V. Vatsal, *Uniform distribution of Heegner points*, Invent. math. **148** 1-46 (2002).
[32] V. Vatsal *Special values of anticyclotomic p-adic L-functions*, Duke Math. J. **116** (2) (2003), 219 - 261.
[33] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Sur les valeurs de certaines fonctions L automorphes en leur centre de symétrie*, Compos. Math. **54** (1985), 173-242
[34] Y. Liu, S.-W. Zhang, and W. Zhang, *On p-adic Waldspureger formula*, preprint (2013).
[35] X. Yuan, S.-W. Zhang, and W. Zhang, *The Gross-Zagier Formula for Shimura Curves*, Ann. of Math. Studies **184**, Princeton University Press 2013.